## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-228	
Complainant:		No. 1426610947A
Judge:		No. 1426610947B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge failed to disqualify herself based on the fact that she was a prosecutor in a previous case involving the complainant who was a defendant.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the response from the judge, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. In addition, the complainant did not raise the conflict issue until after the jury's verdict. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 13, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 13, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

## CONFIDENTIAL FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name:

**Judge's name**: **Date**: 07/02/2011

Instructions: This judge was a prosecutor in a previous case of mine. Reference J1401 FE 2002

judge would not recognize any of my pro per motions

Judge did not make state provide disclosure of exculpatory evidence which should have Cost enormous doubt on conviction