## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-232

Complainant:

Judge:

No. 1427010034A

No. 1427010034B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge treated her unfairly and disrespectfully in various legal proceedings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission has no jurisdiction to determine the legal sufficiency of court decisions. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 1, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 1, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
2011-232

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name: \_

Date: 4-12-11

**Instructions:** You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

วกแ rimary or GC DID  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ 1 INHER ams α **\*** DA n  $\sim$ C 40 m nr

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

#### Complaint against Judge Irwin, Cochise County Court

09-13-2011

2011-232

I have exhausted my resources to be heard by the Cochise County Court, Judge Irwin. So I am filing this complaint. The State of Arizona must be informed that my rights to be heard and to know the truth about this situation have been ignored. I need to inform you what happened to me on 31 Aug 2011 in the Cochise County Court. This concerns the Guardian/Conservator/Trustee (Alan D.Blixt) and the estate of my late father. I was denied my rights, was forced to comply with the judge's ruling to take the home that was paid off by the trustee in Sept 2003, (but because of his continued refinancing since then, \$74,000 is owed) or I would receive **nothing** from the estate. There is something seriously wrong with this "distribution" of the trust. It might be that Alan Blixt paid the home off, refinanced for his benefit several times, and now owns it. Because he has never been honest, it might be that he owns it, and would seek revenge to force me to pay him, which is illegal. I don't know. All I know is that he has hatred for me, kept me from his actions, which were not for my father's or my benefit. No one should have to be forced to accept liabilities based on another's actions.

I was not given any explanation, and certainly not the truth. I was **disrespected**, and **chastised** by the judge. I did nothing to deserve this treatment, and my lawyer didn't represent me fairly or stand up for my rights. I was not allowed to bring my issues to the court on the stand, and left the courthouse in shock. I have paid \$2000.00 to my lawyer, and also paid him \$296.00 to file this objection to the petitioner. They all turned their heads and allowed Alan Blixt to "propose" a distribution of the estate dated the **day** of the hearing, which I didn't receive a copy of, was not able to question, and believe me, it states I stole, and I owe this and that, and I did nothing to have these illegal expenses forced on me. If someone keeps information from you, it's because they have something to hide.

There are so many questionable actions done by Alan Blixt that have been allowed by the court for the last 12 years, but this is the last straw. The court has allowed him to get away with fraud for the last 12 years. So I am now left with the burden of the home that money is owed on, have not for many years been allowed to visit the home or the personal contents, and have no financial transaction records? Would anyone in their right mind accept anything without seeing it first? Also, he has never done his job to inform me about any of the transactions he has made for the last 12 years, and refuses to talk to me. That it his job as G/C and Trustee to my father's estate. The judge stated that the trust was a "legal document", and that "gifting" the home to me was my father's wishes. A "gift" from my father does not include money owed on it. It should be free and clear to me. That is the **only** issue the judge addressed, not the rest of the trust or the 31 Aug 2011 proposal that was handed over to my lawyer with no respect to me. The judge didn't ask or want to know my concerns. I wasn't allowed to go on the stand. I shouldn't have to fight like this for my rights. I don't have to accept what Alan Blixt tells me to. None of this should've happened.

If Alan Blixt had been honest since he became GC/Conservator/Trustee in 1999, there would be no issues. I haven't received all if the legal paperwork from anyone, and didn't have the latest petition package when I went to court. A "proposal" dated 8-31-2011 was

# 2011-232

handed over to my lawyer at the beginning of the court hearing, which I was denied to see until that moment. It was not explained to me. I don't even know if the judge got it, because he didn't address it. My father would never have given me the home with money owed, and that was not stated in the trust. I believe the trust was written for Alan Blixt's benefit, and I believe he exploited my father by making him sign all papers he filed since 1999. There is no way my father could have comprehended this nonsense. The trust does not state that I am responsible for liabilities owed, because it is a gift to me as my father's daughter, family member, and beneficiary. Why doesn't anyone acknowledge that? If the judge had read on, the trust also states that I get all life insurance policies that were not vet in the trust at the time of his death. They were not transferred to the trust until after my father died, on 12-10-10 by Alan Blixt. Yet he has already taken all the money, as if he owns it. The trustee's hatred for me is clearly evident. He wants to get revenge because I caught him giving himself yearly "gifts" of \$10,000 in 1998, and not offering them to me or my husband. He physically hit me on 1-24-99 and was arrested and I didn't press charges. To seek revenge, I believe he has gifted himself many times over for his benefit, not for my father or for me. My rights have never been acknowledged. Alan Blixt has done what he's wanted for 12 years, and has continued to self-deal and exclude me from any estate issues since 1999. Alan Blixt didn't do his job as Guardian and Conservator for my father and certainly as Trustee. He never informed me about anything. All I got was the annual accountings that I had to go and sign for. He put in those accountings only the transactions that he wanted to, and with noone questioning him, had the freedom to steal money without telling me or the court. He is supposed to keep all transactions and records, and I ask to see them. Let it be noted that when this latest petition was filed. Alan Blixt refused judge Ann Littrell as the judge, probably because in Mar 2011, she found he had spent money unlawfully and charged these expenses to my father. I had to be sworn in, and pointed them out to her. When he refused judge Ann Littrell for this petition, It was sent to judge Irwin, and I was not given the right to refuse him and request another judge. They just went on and filed it with judge Irwin.

This whole situation is abuse of process, negligence, infliction of emotional distress, mental pain and suffering put on me. Abuse of process is cause of action that arises when one **party willfully misuses the legal process to injure another**. Alan Blixt has excluded me from the truth concerning every transaction he has made from my father's estate. He has also locked me out of the home since 2005, refuses my requests to visit, or see what personal contents are still there, but tries to force the home on me, with all it's liabilities. It is because he has self-dealt all these years and is hiding the truth. I cannot and will not trust him. Alan Blixt needs to tell the truth for once in his life, to be exposed, and to treat me fairly as a family member, daughter of Harry Allen Blixt( my father, found incapacitated by the Cochise County Court in 3/1999), and beneficiary. This estate had a total of \$505,000 in 1999, and I have received **nothing** from Alan Blixt or the estate. Where did all the money go? This is greed. My father was taken from his home in 2000, to never return, yet Alan Blixt has lived at that home for 12 years, receiving a stipend, having all his bills paid by my father, and had the freedom to do whatever he wanted, and still does.

I was not been treated respectfully by Judge Irwin. I want my rights for the truth. I am not

responsible for anything they try and force on me. Alan Blixt is responsible for all his actions concerning my father's estate.

I appreciate your time, and look forward to hearing from you soon.

V/R Killierah J. Knowles Deborah G. Knowles (Blixt) 1535 Plaza Dominguin Sierra Vista, AZ 85635 (520) 458-4964 Witness: ( etal = Th James Keith Knowles (husband of Deborah Knowles) 15/35 Plaza Dominguin Sierra Vista, AZ 85635

(520) 458-4965