State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

 Disposition of Complaint 11-239

 Complainant:
 No. 1427410367A

 Judge:
 No. 1427410367B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge acted unethically by rejecting his request for a change of advisory counsel.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission has no jurisdiction to determine the legal sufficiency of the judge's decision. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 3, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 3, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-239

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name:

Judge's Name:

Date: 9-13-2011

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

ON 5217 920/1	JUD TE	devie MI Motion
to ChANGE C	anse/ JAMie &	devie My Motion Arica At the fime was
		He fold the Judge
		Other CASE WAS
Inter Fering	Him With MY	ASE JUD GE totally rook
		SAVING And then Judge
		du'song course/under
		anduct. Rule 1. 1.
		Judge Shallcomply
		ade of Judicial Conduct
		Fairness, AJudge ShAll
		Shall Perform All
		Fline under
	duct I Would	
		How Ise Ke Assign
Aniother Ju		
	0	
4		
		the second s

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)