

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 11-240

---

Complainant: No. 1363810939A

Judge: No. 1363810939B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner improperly denied her request for a default judgment.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and three minute entries related to the default judgment, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission has no jurisdiction to determine the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's decisions. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 8, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

\_\_\_\_\_  
George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 8, 2011.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona

Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-240

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 9-23-11

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

COMM. WILL NOT RELEASE DEFAULT JUDGEMENT MONEY FROM MAGELLAN HEALTH SVCS. THEY DID NOT ANSWER BUT SIGNED FOR ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE - AN INTENT TO DEFAULT. HE IS PREJUDICIAL & WANTS TO GIVE THEM ANOTHER CHANCE TO ANSWER. HE WANTS ME TO RE-SERVE, I WILL NOT. I WILL REFUSE THEIR EMBARRASSING COMPLAINT, BUT FOR MILLIONS OF DOLLARS THIS TIME. I HAVE KEYS IN MY INHERITED HOME, NO OBLIGANCES, EXHAUSTED @ THE COURT. CANNOT STAND JUDGES' BEHAVIORS - THEY HATE US FOR FILING AGAINST ANY GOV. ENTITY, CORRUPT. ALL HE SAID THERE WERE 3 DEFENDANTS AND ONLY 1 WAS SERVED - THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY CONTROLLING THE ACTIVITIES OF ALL DEFENDANTS. I WROTE A DEFAULT PACKET - PLEADINGS - HE WON'T ACCEPT THEIR DEFAULT. THIS IS A 9-MONTH CASE - WHY DID HE LET ME WASTE TIME WRITING DEFAULT PACKET? HE KNOWS THE CASE - I HAVE MY HARD SHIP & ABUSE - HE WON'T REPENT. HIS WAY OR HIGHWAY - WITH A DEFAULT! HE CAN'T ACCEPT GOV DEFAULT - HE SHOULD KNOW THEM: LIARS & I'M WAITING FOREVER FOR NOTHING. I HAVE NO TIME TO RE-FILE FOR HIS LACK OF COOPERATION. HE WANTS AN ANSWER SO MUCH THAT HE IS CAUSING ME DISABLING AGITATION. R 9-23-2011 MISTREATMENT, DAMAGE FOR NOTHING. MAGELLAN IS WAITING FOR HIM TO RELEASE MONEY - THEY ARE WAITING (AT OAH) FOR MAGELLAN TO PAY FOR REPAIRS AT Disney Minskopy - EVERYONE BUT ME KNOWS.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

that I will be <sup>2</sup>coerced for - but it never happens.  
 Choices (under the umbrella of Magellan) doesn't want  
 "double dipping" - they are waiting for Mr. Morrow to  
 release monies - Judge Michalsky thought that she  
 was being helpful in suggesting Magellan - she was  
 kindly, but NOBODY KNOWS MAGELLAN BOT MEMBERS.  
 We must appeal - denial, grievance file, denial, they  
 are there to SAY NO - I WANTED THIS FEDERAL  
 & THAT'S WHY they defaulted - they thought \$15,000 -  
 \$30,000 was cheap for their state fed violations &  
 misery they caused me for 5 years. Meanwhile,  
 everyone is waiting for everyone else & here I was  
 with no repairs, no damages from J. Morrow ~~SITTING~~  
~~SITTING~~ WITH TIRES LIFTING, ~~WET~~ ~~DIAPER~~...!!!  
 am sick, and sick & tired of everything & everyone.  
 Need action NOW. In a nervous sweat, calling  
 waiting they are disgusting.

Will somebody listen?  
 Magellan wanted an estimate of all necessary  
 repairs - it was fixed or then \$3,000. Morrow  
 is denying everything - ~~WHERE~~ does that leave  
 me? And they defaulted - months ago, he  
 he denies messing. We have to talk. I will  
 speak of JRP. I will not go backward 6 months  
 to re-serve the others. I could not care less  
 I care about only me - ~~troubled~~ me.

Comm <sup>3</sup> personality is overly subjective  
He told lawyers "You have to e-file or just be  
OTT." He told me (after I requested default  
hearing, and went into the courtroom on that day)  
"You can see we're doing this now - Cattle  
H. is here," He should have denied the hearing  
request ~~(OTT)~~ did not hear from his court. He is  
not recognizing a ~~default~~ that the defend-  
ants cannot take to trial. I would be em-  
barrassing to them. It was properly handled  
until now - he chooses to protect them -  
the crooks instead of me. All details  
are thrown aside. He should be OTT!  
I wrote a paper default paper - this is about  
6-7 months long. I want and need my default  
immediately. He is not fooling me. Two other  
judges came before him - this is federal  
and must go out side this crooked state.  
Morrow cannot do what he wants to do.  
His decision is telling ~~me~~ ~~that~~ ~~he~~ ~~wants~~ ~~to~~ ~~reserve~~ ~~defendants~~  
WPN 7  
PREJUDICE, CONFLICT OF INTEREST, PREFERENCE TO DEFENDANTS,  
They had 1 chance to answer - violation of Civ. P.

If he gives them a second chance by ~~the~~  
 serve, that is violation of Civ. P. time is allocated  
 precisely & no more is allowed. In effect, he wants  
 an answer (presence so much from them) that he  
 is willing to ~~be~~ back in Civ. P. months ~~ATL~~  
~~PROTECT~~ has been filed. His name must be included  
 in future filings for prejudicial and abusive  
 (soft power) behavior. I've had 25 filings ~~NOT~~  
~~PROTECT~~ in ~~damages~~ ~~8 yrs~~ - ~~50%~~ of these  
 judges ~~corrupt~~ - they want us to  
 disappear - ~~ATL~~: The Courts are abusive  
 of elderly disabled and I will file a major  
 25 part action case as proof, soon. I will  
 release nothing, any more. This State  
 must be finished by Fed action;  
 \$500 million for the 25 schools -  
 Producing ~~it~~ / I've had ~~it~~!!  
 TV, people, magazine people, relatives, friends  
 acquaintances by Facebook, my website  
 gone too far

PUBLICITY, PUBLICITY, PUBLICITY...

Addendum Magellan answers for all:

① why should the defendants be given a second chance to answer service? No one else receives that chance. Many months of the Commission's cost believe that Magellan would default, or any of the other people listed would default. They actually ran away - new jobs for many, an admission of guilt - their lies to me, Commission, press, real complaint.

③ The Commission is showing prejudice against me and he is showing preference for the defendants.

④ Who know better than I know? Everyone knows me well and every word I say is true. The Commission violates Rules of Civ. Pro by insisting on re-service. I have sent a complaint to J.P. If a re-service shall be necessary it will be the same embarrassing, costly complaint with no chance of getting less than millions of dollars - 5 yrs of Fed. A.R.C. Title IX - Fed jurisdiction is worthless - not enough publicity for all wrongs they never want to right.

Solution: Detention of Choice by ~~the~~ from Complaint