State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-241	
Complainant:		No. 1427610355A
Judge:		No. 1427610355B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge colluded with counsel to improperly instruct a jury on the meaning of a term in an adverse possession case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Whether the judge improperly instructed the jury is an issue that involves alleged legal error, which the commission has no authority to review. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 10, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 10, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR (OFF	ICE	T U	SE	ON	LY	
20	1	1	-	2	4	1	

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 9-9-2011
words what the judge times and places that	an use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a e did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Bet will help us understand your concerns. You may attack type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the paper only.	e specific and list all of the names, dates, h additional pages but not original court
1. Judge	colluded in her chambers with the attor	neys both mornings of the trial
over coffee.		
2. Judge	knowingly allowed the wrong definition	of Hostile to be used, in order
to force the Jury's	s decision.	
How do I know	this?	
A. Hostile as use	ed in Adverse Possession has a very specific m	eaning (Blacks Law Dictionary)
B. Hostile was m	nisused not once, but twice within the Jury Instru	uctions.
C. During the Po	st trial meeting with the jury in the deliberating re	oom. Judge
	the room without pause and told everyone to b	_
	efinition of Hostile was "the only thing we could	
Finally It is my re	esponsibility to file this complaint.	
	oopenoisinty to the trie complaint.	