State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-258	
Complainant:		No. 1429010068A
Judge:		No. 1429010068B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a municipal court judge violated his right to a speedy trial and caused him to lose time from work by failing to timely notify him that his hearing was continued.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the electronic history of the case, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Whether the complainant received timely notice of a continuance is not evidence that the judge engaged in ethical misconduct. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 16, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 16, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Your name:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-258

_Date: 7/30/26//

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's name:

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.
Not only do I feel my rights are being Violated to a gaitek and speedu trial I was also told that Judge was not able to review the evedence
This was said when I asked Judge if He was uble to do son in court on I believe the 27 of June of the
court date prior to. I also a sted for a continuouse and called 3 of 4 day before continuous never notified, and the continuous was granted the day after I called less
Than for 3 day before court. Use to this I missed 3 days of work and spent 45 dollars on a place to stay in that location prior to court.