State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-262 Complainant: No. 1429410039A Judge: No. 1429410039B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner ignored the law by ordering him to pay spousal maintenance from his disability benefits.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing all of the information provided by the complainant and the court record, the members of the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Whether the commissioner acted contrary to the law in awarding disability benefits as spousal maintenance is a legal question outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 23, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 23, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disgualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: OCT 17 2011

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

was ocasse DIVOVCE FIRST MY G - d 1. vi -____ 1 NesT1 70 w He 5 H. was 40Nai ate TO 4 0 O O COV Vorce いへて A Comr Ju 0 0 pau. Her Vda ow HO edera PI Ċv am a 40 WOrk TO es α SH <u>ر</u> 5 m SH eve. THO -70 0 work æ a.s as O 00 OM m na õ VOVIA -e 38 ィ jes 5: α 0 4 OR e i Ve o Ve 000 05 ι α 0 d NHO -57 a esr C e d DO 厶 Rom 5 Mor as can ~ THE ou His 0 either Va ilC DROP SWORE OR ~al -Ha .S au STU -

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

to uphold and follow, my va disability is supposed to not be counted and she is , and she is giving 40% of my social security disability that is directly related to my va disability . And I am only getting 1,200.00 per month which means that my income after giving up part of my monies is 575.00 per month, my charges are as follows: delay or lack of diligence, bias (if you look at the transcript you will see that all rulings are one sided), violating my civil and constitutional rights , this judge never addressed issues of great concern to me, I have had nothing but disregard for me from her since the beginning of the case she has ordered me to split property that I paid for by my own disability months after the divorce but has never ordered the ex to go to work but told me to go to work, I feel that this judge has error in her judgments and order