State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-275	
Complainant:		No. 1430610954A
Judge:		No. 1430610954B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased and improperly issued an order despite a lack of jurisdiction in the underlying case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the related minute entries available online, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the judge's ruling. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 19, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 19, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

OR	OFFICE	USE	ONLY

11-275

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files. Advised Court & Judge regarding identity theft generated Case
Advised Court & judge reactions identity these populated case
and requested identity hearing to have records corrected on several occasions yet receive no results or responses to recent letters.
I believe judge is reglecting duties to uphald justice and Form this complaint based on Failure to perform or reglecting duties.
My understanding of an identity hearing is for people who are either not the defendant on case or case was generated by an identity thirt. They usually result in correction of record remark of name/BOB from case a applicable records—neither of which has been done on the above Mentioned Cases.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-275

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge':	s name:	Date: 11-1-2011		
Instructions: You can use words what the judge did th times and places that will h documents. Print or type or	at you believe constitutes alp us understand your co	judicial misconduct. E oncerns. You may attac	Be specific and list all ch additional pages b	of the names, dates, out not original court	
I wrote	Judge rea	vesting i	Correction	oF	
record due	itabi of	י לישני ניי	No 1624	anto or	
action taken	to dote.	エ	believe in	idge has	
	Persistently	Failed to	perform	his/her	
	50 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 · 10 ·				