State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

 Disposition of Complaint 11-277

 Complainant:
 No. 1392410922A

 Judge:
 No. 1392410922B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge made an incorrect ruling based on the evidence presented.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing all of the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 20, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 20, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

_	9	0	1	1		9	7	7
, }	FOR	20	FF	ICE	E US	SE	<u>ON</u>	LY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: ____

_ Judge's name:

Date: 11.1. 2011

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

4. Petioner & CASE FN-2006-
CLAINGS THAT JUDGE
HAS MADE FALSE STATEMENT IN SPITE
OF SEEING POILOWING PROOFS GN 9.5.11
SHE ORDERED THAY RESPONDENT
COULD PURCHASE MARITAL RESIDENT TOR
DEED OF HUYSE IN HIS NAME ONLY
DEED OF HOUSE IN HIS MANE OHLY
DEED OF HOUSE WAS IN TWO NAMES
4 SALE' PRICE
OF HOUSE WAS \$740,000 A EXICTION NUTICE
EXHIBTI TRIAL ON VITION SPATED
THAT I HAVE PUT \$ 320,000, HORE
TOWARDS THE HOUSE, BUT
SHE IGNORED'IT.
EXHIBITZ PROOF OF MY PAYING MY
SHARE O MARTGACE & 170,715 u MOY O
2000. CALCULATED BY
IN HIS HANDWRITING
HE CALCULATD HIS SHARF QS\$1373.29,7
MINE \$329/mouth
HEPAID ONLYSIO, 10 / mouth. AS HE
REFUSED TO PAY TAXES & INSURANC
-Those CAME FROM MY SHIARE of \$329/ml
<u> </u>

IAM PAYING MORTGAGE for 2nd time since Jan of 2008, I Want IT TO BE REFUNDED

EXHIBIT 3 - SHE GAVE INTERVENCE CREDID of BROODER \$209000, for FRAUD CHECKS of MORE PHAN ANILLION DOLLARS, MONEY TO BE COMING From PRICE OF HOUSE SHE GAVE MORE BASELESS CREDIT TO REACH HOUSE PRICE TO BE \$73,000.

ENTIRIT H. STATEMENT SHOWING MY SHRE & 329/m. - HIS SHRRE \$1010/m

Sincely