State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-278	
Complainant:		No. 1228310691A
Judge:		No. 1228310691B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner made repeated misstatements during several hearings and was generally unprepared and incompetent in the relevant law. He further alleged the commissioner made inconsistent rulings and changed his decisions improperly and repeatedly.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and listening to the recordings of all the hearings, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 20, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 20, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 www.azcourts.gov/ethics

Davis:

October 12, 2011

I could not use your online form so I'm sending this complaint.

This complaint is against Commissioner Case No. ST 2010-hearing dates were: May 17, 2011, in court; July 29, 2011, via

telephone; and September 7, 2011; Arizona Superior Tax Court, Suite 007, Courtroom 005, Superior Court of Arizona in Maricopa County, 125 W.

Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85003. These "hearings" were supposedly recorded for the Public Record.

I think the case is over, but, I do not know if it is still pending or not because is too dingy to know what he is doing or will do. Because of a statement made by the lying, sneaking, cheating, stealing and crooked coconino county attorney, and his underlings, I believe the case may still be pending.

I don't know the names, addresses and telephone numbers of the witnesses who observed and defense attorney in appropriate conduct, however, approximately 20 people were in the court room watching and listening to the silliness that went on! You can get their information from the court records. I filed an objection to that farce with the defense attorney and that dingaling I told them I would file complaints against all of them! I sent a letter of complaint to the Presiding Judge

Phoenix, AZ 85003-2243. He recommended I file a complaint with you.

On September 21, 2011 I filed the following complaint with Presiding Judge Norman J.

I checked the Internet and found that you are best known for presiding over in , when that strife-torn court was overhauled and modernized. I hope you are the person who will step up to the task of correcting a serious problem in the Superior Tax Court. You have a commissioner that may be senile, have dementia, or the beginning of Alzheimer's either that or he is an incompetent liar. This commissioner sometimes babbles inconsistently and incoherently, sometimes declaring one position then, in the same breath, switches to the opposite position, then back and forth making it impossible for anyone listening to understand what he is talking about. He can't remember what he said a few minutes ago let alone what he said weeks or months ago. To cover for his inability to remember and communicate he bullies the Plaintiff and Defendant. That is not

acceptable! Now that he has made his "final ruling", which, again, had little to do with what actually happened in "court", I want to know what you will do about him and how to file complaints against him. I shall give you all of the information about this case, so you can learn all of the facts but I want you to assure me that I will be protected from any action from that nut case

My complaint is against , Case ST regarding courtroom hearing on , and courtroom hearing on , and courtroom hearing on

The Facts: Prior to the hearing I had asked if I could record the hearing. The clerk left the court, then returned and told me the said, "The court will provide you with a copy of the recorded hearing." However, later when I tried to get the court to send me a copy of the recording of the hearing the commissioner's assistant refused!

started the trial part of the hearing without On May 17, 2011 swearing in the Plaintiff or Defendant! AND, it went down hill from there! During that made rambling, inconsistent and incoherent statements that hearing no one could understand. I cited a specific US law to commissioner knowing anything about the law or looking it up he made an immediate ruling that was contrary to that law! By that time I was well aware that there was something wrong with thinking and hearing abilities. I tried my best to make sure he knew what the matter was all about. I pointed out that I was not only suing to get my property value lowered to a reasonable amount, but, that I was also suing because the County Assessor had the wrong classification on my property. agreed that we had two issues to resolve, the valuation and the classification of the said the two issues would be handled separately. I tried to property point out that within the classification there was also the issue of whether the property was "improved" or "vacant". He had asked me and the defendant to try to settle on the valuation part of the matter and told us we would have another hearing on the classification. The three defendants left the court room to discuss what they wanted to do. When they returned they immediately offered to lower the valuation from \$130,000+ to \$35,000. I told them though I had recently paid \$24,000 I would agree to \$30,000. They immediately accepted my counter settlement. ruled that our settlement ended the valuation part of the matter. I had asked for court costs, expenses did not discuss that matter. I wanted to bring this point and fees, but, up again, but, the hearing had taken two hours and ended at 5:00 because the court building was being closed down and was in a hurry to go somewhere else. I was angry about being cut off because I had a lot more to say about the matter!

The Defendant's lawyer and I tried to meet the demands had made during that first hearing and discussed the classification of my property. We could not agree. During the second hearing done by telephone on

claimed he thought the matter had been finished at the first hearing. Because of incompetence both the Defendant and I tried to get him to shut up and listen to what we were telling him, which was basically that we were doing exactly what he had told us to do during the first hearing. Both the Defendant and I told

he was wrong. tried to deny it claiming he had been perfectly clear! Finally I demanded commissioner listen to the recording of what he said at the first hearing so he would learn that he was wrong. He finally shut up and listened and then ordered another hearing in order to resolve his screw up! But, he did not make it clear on what was to be heard at that hearing!

made "authoritative" statements which he As he had done before, commissioner then contradicted during the telephone hearing. No one can figure out what he is talking about! That is why we had to have a third hearing. On at I0 seconds into the third hearing the commissioner stated for the record, "This is the date time set for oral arguments for summary judgment and in the event the court is unable to make a ruling for summary judgment or denies the motion for summary judgment we will proceed with the trial on the issue." There was no objection to his statement. At 30 sec into the hearing the said, "It's defendant's motion for summary judgment." was wrong because both parties had filed for a summary judgment, we were supposed to be having oral arguments on cross motions! In other words, does not even know what he had ruled on during the telephone

hearing!

said, "Both parties should know that I have At 2:41 in the hearing reviewed the pleadings as well as the applicable statutes." Either that was a lie or his memory is so bad that he did not remember the main points I had repeatedly stated in my complaints and Motions!

At 28:32 in the hearing, when Plaintiff had a chance to speak, Plaintiff said, "I think I need to point out there are two motions that we're arguing here, her motion and mine. agreed there were cross motions.

At 44:32 in the said, "Well here's what I'm want to do, any objection to this, I'm going to go ahead and take the motions, cross motions for summary judgment under advisement. I'm going to let (Plaintiff) swear him in let him put his evidence on that he wants to put on. If I grant the motion for summary judgment I won't consider the evidence. If I deny the motion for summary judgment then I'll make a ruling as if we proceeded in a trial. Is there an objection to that council?" Sounds consistent so far right? Read on.

Defendant, "I, I'm not sure that I understand. You would take his testimony as if we were trying this matter today?" Well, had said so at the beginning of the hearing! She had not objected. Where had she been earlier?

"We were going to try this matter if I took it under advisement or if I denied the motion for summary judgment. I've decided that I need to look at the statutes and the and and the arguments and consider them and not make a decision today so I'm going to take it under advisement, the cross motions for summary judgment, and go ahead and proceed with the trail, allow both parties to put on any evidence, you can cross examine him or whatever you want to do, ahm he can put his exhibits in, I'm not going to consider any of that, I'm going to make a ruling on the motion for summary judgment. If I

deny the motion for summary judgment, then I will take the evidence that was presented here at trial and make a decision on the classification issue. Any objection to that?" Excuse me, but, didn't he earlier say he had already looked at the "pleadings and statutes"? Either he lied, or he has already forgotten them! Defendant said, "Yes your said, "What's your objection?" Defendant said, "My objections is that this honor." was set for solely for oral arguments and and... said, "I thought we set em both for today." Defendant said, "No we did not. We only for oral argument. I specifically asked the court to set them separately so that I would not have to prepare for a trial..." said, "Then then if I did it that way then that's fine. All right." Plaintiff said, "You didn't do it that way your honor. You didn't answer. That's why I wrote in there that I objected to it." There was other discussion between Plaintiff and defendant. said, "Where's the I need to pull the. Hold it everyone! (He specifically looked at me!) I recall and if its not in the minute entry I know from my recollection that I did decide that we would do it separately. And she's entitled to do it separately." Excuse me! But! How many times does this incompetent jerk get to change his mind while relying on his totally dysfunctional "memory" takes him down a new path? During the telephone hearing he did not say one way or the other! Now, one minute he says we will have the hearing and the trial, then reverses himself, then relies on his faulty "memory" to remember what he thought he said weeks ago! This certainly sounds like senility, dementia or Alzheimer's to me! Then he contradicted statements he had made only minutes ago and decided there would be no decided his "intention" was to separate this hearing and a trial! trial. Well, I don't give a damn what his "intention" was when he did not make his "intention" clear and any time to anyone! What kind of kangaroo court is this!! went with the is another corrupt government crook who will do defendant anyway because whatever he can to protect and defend other incompetent and corrupt government crooks and lying lawyers!

However, allowed additional argument that would have been used in the trial! But he only allowed limited additional discussion because he was in a hurry to get on with other matters. No one can get a fair trial in kangaroo court!

At 52:07 Plaintiff asked, "We're not going to present other evidence now?"

, "Nope. If you have things you want to present you can present it, but, today's not the date of the trial, because I'm going to make a ruling on the motion first."

"But you need to start wrapping it up because I have a lot of other people I need to see today." Arrogance and bullying is not appropriate in a

53:05 Plaintiff, "Well the only other thing I said I have that I wanted to present, and I tried to be very concise with, is this information about the other comparable properties that I think are like mine, and I would more than happy ..." (interrupted by that arrogant)

- 53:20 "Then have it marked as an exhibit, and you can present it."
- 54:09 "And this is ah, this is what you've already talked about isn't it? Which is the

argument that other parcels are getting agricultural classification and your not getting agricultural classification?"

Defendant said, "That's correct."

"Isn't that the purpose of these document sir?"

Plaintiff, "Yes."

"That's correct."

55:04 "(to the defendant) Council I'm assuming that since you've already seen it, and you provided it to him, and he's referenced it in this argument today, that you have no objections to it. Is that correct?"

Defendant, "Okay."

"Exhibit 1 in support of Plaintiff's motions and in support of his response to the county's motion is admitted, there being no objections to it, the court will consider it, has already heard the argument about it, but will take a look at the numbers and the pictures and so forth that are presented with it." That statement was exactly the opposite of what had said earlier! This arrogant and incompetent brain dead idiot does not listen Plaintiff, Defendant, nor to what he says and therefore contradicts himself within seconds or minutes of making a statement or ruling!

bullied Plaintiff and Defendant in order to get his way when everyone in the court room could see that was the person at fault! finally decided to take the matter under advisement, whatever that means to him. No one knows what will come up with next because he is so totally scatter brained that he has no business making important decisions for or about the poor individuals subjected to his arrogance, incompetence, lying and faulty memory!

wrote, " is sworn and testifies." (The jerk Then in his Minute Entry, can't even get my first name correct!) Now, during the September hearing, presented is so confused and brain say there would be no trial? However, above, didn't dead he now thinks I was sworn in and testified! This is the second time someone was sworn in and testified when in fact never at any time has anyone been sworn in at any of his hearings on this matter! He said nothing about the fact that I had repeatedly demanded he rule on whether my property was "improved" or "vacant" nor that I had asked for court costs, expenses and fees. However, in the phony "judgment" the , prepared she included matters not ruled on or discussed lying defendant, I object to her point 3. on page two, because though I brought by that incompetent never discussed it nor ruled on it. it up in documents in and court that incompetent and I object to her point 5. on page 2 because again, though I had brought it up to that incompetent fool had never discussed it nor ruled on it.

I fully intend to file a complaint against

How do I do this?

I know one thing for sure! Lawyers are multifaceted liars! They lie not only when their lips are moving, they lie when they write, and/or when they think. I have proven that about over and over during this case and with only one exception did nothing about the lying of all of the defendants, County Assessor, Treasure and Attorney! All of which I intend to get recalled! I made it clear to all of them that I shall do a documentary on this matter to show the public what a bunch of lying, cheating, thieving crooks all of them are!

Please contact me at and tell me what you are going to do about and how I can proceed against him.

Sincerely,