State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-287	
Complainant:		No. 1431210737A
Judge:		No. 1431210737B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge demonstrated bias by overruling her attorney's objections more often than the objections raised by the opposing counsel because members of the law firm donated to the judge's campaign.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the allegations, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission has no authority to investigate the legal sufficiency of the judge's ruling. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 21, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 21, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

37_____

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-287

Data: 10/31/11

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Indeals some

Your name:	_ лиоде з паше.	Date. [O/ 31] t]
	this form or plain paper of the same size to file a cor	
words what the judge did the	at you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be sp	pecific and list all of the names, dates,
times and places that will he	elp us understand your concerns. You may attach ac	dditional pages but not original court
documents. Print or type on	one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the	complaint for your files.

It has been apparent from the start of this case in March 2009, that there has been ongoing bias and impropriety. On the dates I attended hearings for the first trial, during the weeks of Feb.22nd and March 30th., objections by were overruled three times more often than those by the Law Firm.

Jurors from this trial even wrote letters to Judge at trials end expressing their distain with his one-sidedness over the proceedings.

Judge should have recused himself from the second trial, instead he seemed to show even more bias in favor of Law.

and The relationship between Judge is of grave concern to public confidence and judicial was running for integrity. In Jan. 2008 when Judge , both employees and his son reelection Law, gave contributions of \$390 each to Judge at campaign. The campaign was treasured by , a Law Firm. This information can be legal assistant at County records, received and June found in 6th 2008 respectively.

Thank you in advance for looking into these matters. Sincerely,