State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-298

Complainant:

Judge:

No. 1431910956A

No. 1431910956B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace issued improper rulings and ignored the law.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the allegations, the judge's response, and the recording of the hearing in question, the commission decided to dismiss this matter with a private advisory comment to the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Dated: April 6, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Dominguez

Louis Frank Dominguez Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 6, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's name

Date: 11-21-11

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-298

Your name:

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files. <u>On 11-2-11 at 0930, I</u> <u>at Pipel County Justice Court Precinct #2 in reference to</u>

CVZOIIclaims that a 1999 Dodge Ram 1 Owner Vehicle V.I.N. owned b left as collateral for work that was done on a 2003 Jeco Libert which was completed in 6-29-11. There is no signed to this affect. Plaintiff or Defendant either oarty Forage Fee bill of 307 defendant arrived in court with typed 500005 obinti concerning this d an dated 11-02-11 to evidence. After hearing this document " holder the Plaintiff Withesses for trans and (Souse of Plaintiff) receiving letters from medical doctors describing hardship an stress to her on going medical condition the 1999 Dodge Ram 2500 VIN. did not authorize any usage of kid Velside no bearing on the stated that had Judge and that was between her and the Plaintiff After all testimonies submitted to the cou Tudae evidence was testimonics an evidence and he would all be. out his ruling court adjourned

letter was received from the Justice of the 0n 11-05-11 a Yeace office with finding Judge

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

2011-298

To: Whom it may concern FROM'. Date: 11-25-11 RE! CV11-Justice of on 11-02-11 Judge the Peace Pinial County Precinct "2 heard case . V3-# CV 11-Repair. appeared with 4 witnesses to include myself (Lien holder), and There was also letters from doctors stating the medical condition of and the stress that this situation has brought to her condition. received these letters along Judge With Testimony from Lienhölder. of the vehicle in question and also festimony who has provided transportfrom to and from Doctors ation for appointments an treatments and transportation son back an forth to school, . for stated that this had no Judge bearing on the case. of Repair Brought a letter for supposed Storage in the amount fees owed by of \$3,075,00 For storage of a 1999 Dodge Ram VIN. # Page 1 of 2

2011-298

There was no written contract or reason for this debt to have occurred. Repair did no type of work on this vehicle, as stated in the court paperwork, At the end of the heaving Judge stated that after he reviews the evidence, he would mail out his decision to the and the defendant plaintiff-Repair, During the duration of the hearing it appeared an sounded that as if whatever statements or written reports the plaintiff had submitted were treated as if they had no bearing on the cope as previously stated by honorable Respectfully, Page 2 of 2

11/28/11 2011 - 298To whom it may concern. and I am the My name is third party involved in case CVII-Repair. I was out of town on a job and Was not able to attend hearing. I also was Never Supprended for the hearing. If I had Known that I could be held richle for caything I. believe I should have had the opportunit to present my side and honertly did not that it involved me as I had already tried as 2:8 Mrs. to settle with and he denied payment. Judge was unfair in his judgement without hearing my testimony in regards to what happenned with this case. Please feel Free to contact me with any questions regarding this case. Thank you