State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 11-299	
Complainant:		No. 1386210700A
Judge:		No. 1386210700B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge made an erroneous ruling and violated the rights of the complainant's great-grandchildren.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing all of the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 27, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 27, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

DEC 06 2011

"An unjust law is no law at all." - St. Augustine

Commission on Jucicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007

2 December 2011

Commission On Judicial Conduct,

This complaint is against Judge of the Juvenile Court, located at 3131 W.

Durango, Phoenix Arizona 85009. Case number JD I was an intervener in this case for two of my great grandchildren for whom I will only use initials, M. C. and E. C.

Judge erred when she deliberately sought to make M. C. and E. C. "parentless", by dismissing me as an intervener in the case, in order to make the children available for adoption by non relatives. With this complaint I am presenting courtroom transcripts and cited laws confirming the wrongful conduct of Judge I will demonstrate that Judge has, denied human rights guaranteed by The Geneva World Council on Human Rights for children, to M. C. and E. C., the minor great grandchildren of, myself,

Judge has effectuated this miscarriage of justice under the disguise of calling it, "in the best interest of the children", when the truth of the matter is, no reasonable effort was made to contact or notify the relatives of M. C. and E. C. to give priority of placement of the children with their biological family, as required by law. Although I, the maternal great grandmother of M. C. and E. C. met all the state requirements to have the children returned to me, Judge refused to sustain due process of law. (Copies of certificates for family foster care attached.) [Transcript. Feb. 8, 2010. pag. 4. Li. 2 to li. 10.)(Transcript. Feb. 8, 2010. pag. 6. li. 2. To pag. 7 li. 19]