

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-304

Complainant:

Judge:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner demonstrated bias against him by making an incorrect ruling in favor of the opposing party.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's ruling. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 27, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 27, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-304

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____ Judge's name: _____ Date: _____

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

IT ALL BEGAN WITH A HEARING THAT HAVE/HAS BEEN REQUESTED FOR FRIDAY AFTERNOON, THE
OF _____ AT 3:00 PM. LOCATION: SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA, 125 W WASHINGTON,
PHOENIX, ARIZONA, 85003, COURTROOM 001. COMMISSIONER _____ PRESIDING OVER THIS
HEARING REGARDING THE GARNISHMENT OBJECTION.

AFTER BEEN SWORN IN, I PROCEEDED TO GIVE MY COMPLAINT SIDE TO THE WRIT OF GARNISHMENT
THAT I HAVE RECEIVED FROM THE PLAINTIFF (ATTORNEY

), HOWEVER WHEN COMMISSIONER _____ ASKED ME REGARDING AS TO WHY THE OBJECTION
SHOULD BE QUASHED... I TOLD HIM AS TO WHY IT SHOULD BE QUASHED, HOWEVER, EVIDENTLY IT
WAS NOT GOOD ENOUGH FOR HIM AND WAS COMPLETELY DENIED EVERYTHING. THUS, THE PLAINTIFF
PROCEEDED TO TELL THEIR SIDE OF THE STORY AND COMMISSIONER _____ ACCEPTED EVERYTHING
FROM THEM. THEREFORE, THE END RESULT WAS THAT THE OBJECTION WAS REVERSED FROM
BEING QUASHED AND IS IN FULL EFFECT.

AFTER THE HEARING, I LEFT THE COURTROOM UPSET, DEJECTED, AND TRYING TO FIGURE OUT
HOW A COMMISSIONER/JUDGE COULD BE BIASED, UNFAIR, IMPARTIAL, AND FAVOR ONE PARTY
OVER ANOTHER IN A COURT HEARING. ESSENTIALLY, I GOT LAUGHED OUT OF COURT AND WAS
NOT WELCOMED.

THEREFORE, I DO NOT UNDERSTAND AS TO HOW A COMMISSIONER/JUDGE CAN BE SO BIASED,
IMPARTIAL, OR FAVOR ONE PARTY IN A HEARING?

LET ALONE, AFTER DOING FURTHER RESEARCH ON MY CASE, HOW THE COURTS CAN ACCEPT
FALSEFYING INFORMATION (PAPERS) FROM A PLAINTIFF (ATTORNEYS) THAT HAVE TWISTED AND
FALSEFIED INFORMATION ON THIS CASE? FURTHERMORE, HOW CAN ONE COMMISSIONER OVERTURN (RE
VERSED) A DECISION THAT HAS BEEN MADE BY ANOTHER COMMISSIONER?

FINALLY, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THAT THIS COMMISSIONER (_____) RECEIVES THE HARSHEST
DISCIPLINE THAT IS POSSIBLE... IF THAT INCLUDES BEING SUSPENDED FROM THE BENCH, THEN
PLEASE DO THAT. THIS COMMISSIONER NEEDS TO BE REMOVED FROM THE BENCH.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)