State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-003	
Complainant:		No. 1111310908A
Judge:		No. 1111310908B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that several judges failed to rule on his objections, one judge improperly failed to recuse herself, and another made an inappropriate statement.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 24, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 24, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-003

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	_ Judge's name:	Date:
words what the judge did the times and places that will he	this form or plain paper of the same size to file a coat you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be selp us understand your concerns. You may attach a one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the	specific and list all of the names, dates, additional pages but not original court
	nd appeared before Magistrate	I had already
explained to the de	tense attorneys that I was tiling a	claim against Nova Green
	from the Public Defendari Office at Co	
	of without representation as these attorneys	
	aside. Magistrate told me sh	[.]
	nsure I would not be represented b	
	of the fact that she has no jurisi	
1 1	* person than I according to their f	
	the 2010 case. She should have	
she fried me in 2	2010. (A motion was sent to her	office explaining I was different
	s where they proceeded to prosecut	
	ule on my objections on ser	
	I refused to rule on my obj	/ // /
, , , ,	bod disorderly conduct after he	. 10.
	paperwork nor talked with	
Judge	refused to rule on my	
	bench warrant within minute	1,00
	ter his office was informed the	1 1
that I lans in	Meso looking for the office he ou	level as to an to with
my 100 mind book	welking the podered me to a	Head a 400 Pm spanistrust
scholula for Waln	school November 16 2011 Lynning the	I I had to walk to
Phones to other	to be seent if the Oso Am	on November 17 2011 T
had pool in court	walking. He ordered me to a esday November 16 2011 Knowing the to be present at the 8:00 Am administration check the file for the wax not there. (Case file). Unknow tood. The did this outside of the	contact in from tion of the
- acids to all the	- luce not the co (Can Gla) Ulatura	7 has the maintain that
appointment with 14	and the distribution of it	Court a may start To
arcured warrant was	and the coll of the	acked her as Abull
Mus placed that a	private cell for no reason. I	usited flex on provenber

18 h 2011 what the arrest warrant was arresting me for. She did not know. I explained to her that I was scheduled for an appointment with a doctor in Mesa as ordered by Judge. I told her that I was given wronds directions to this Mega address by attorney Nora Girect on a message on my phone number. She said she would have to check the poper work later on since she did not have it with her. I also hid Explained to her that I was late for court the day before and told her what these two appointments were missed for because I was walking to them and that I had told the judge's office on the phones that I war walking to the neeting on November 16 2011.

This magistrate Unknown 2' came back to my cell and told me the wavrant was specifically for only one instance of jurisdiction. I asked her which one it was and she told me the lateness of me showing up for court on November 17 Zoll in which it was executed by Maricopa County Court Security that morning.

This judge then verbally abased me by Saying, "You're quite the trickster - that's a good one!"

The then said, " Nice try."

I then was providing her more evidence why I should be released and she walked away. She refused to explain to me why she was calling me a trickster. She is tar off base labeling me talsely as some kind of cheater when all I did was ask the court what instance the arrest warrant was for. The refused to take into account the fact that I was only late for court because of the long time it took to walk from Almas School Road and Southern to doyntown Phoenix. From the 16 m evening to early morning of the 17th.

This judge refused to allow testimony of my problem with the attorney Nova Green who violated my rights last year 2010 when I wanted to obtain the security tape from City of Pheenix for that arrest for trespassing in the Library as I was working on my netbook online while sitting quietly at the computer table.

She refused to take into account that I all against already filed a 26 million dollar claim against Nora Grear and the City of Phoenix. She refused to take into account that I had already Submitted a 22 million dollar chaim on this case also.

On January 3 2012 Judge held a bond for Feiture hearing in this case. He refused to accept the submittance of my motion to continue. He claimed he had no jurisdiction because it was a criminal case from City of Phoenix.

Judge then proceeded to make a ruling to send the money back to the payer of the bond. He used a different name on it and It refused it for fraud upon discovery. He should have accepted my motion to Continue.

Judge had entered into the record to release me upon my own recognizance when there had been no motion submitted to the court for this occurence. Nova Green had her assistant called police stalking me in the court's buildings of 201 West Jefferson and at 101 West Jefferson. They were communicating on their call phones as they were following he around from office to office and aldress to address. I told her assistant that I would only go to court after I filed my motions in this case. (Motion to quest warrent).

Judge bound me over to Rule 11 court after I claimed it was a conspiracy as she was refusing me for the second time the copy of the security tape to prove innocense during probable cause hearing.