

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-005

Complainant: No. 1337910906A

Judge: No. 1337910906B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner threatened and yelled at litigants in an order or protection case and demonstrated bias by failing to care about the complainant's concerns.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and various electronic court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 19, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 19, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

December 31, 2011

JAN 03 2012

Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington
Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Case: FN2011-070418
Commissioner Ireland

I would like to file a complaint about Judge Ireland. I think it should be noted that she exercised gross negligence as it related to me and our 4 year old son. It was clearly documented in exhibits and letters from the opposing party that a gun is present around my son once a week and weekend beyond my control. She didn't even add a minimum clause to indicate that Mr. Lindstrom be prevented from having a loaded handgun around his grandchild. Even my attorney had said we should have won this case NOT to dismiss the order of protection/against harassment. We had all the proof. She also had evidence of police records that Mr. Lindstrom helped prevent an exchange of his grandson and harassed me for a year and counting with video cameras in my face (photo exhibit).

I am disappointed in this junior judge who couldn't realize that Mr. Lindstrom had played her by playing deaf and dumb. He was a counselor and knows the tricks of the trade and prevented his son from taking bi-polar medication when married that was prescribed by a psychiatrist! In fact, I found it negligent and against my own rights once again to have judge that doesn't listen to witnesses. She was clearly biased and didn't care. Then to add to the injure she threatened and yelled at everyone which I found to be unprofessional and not necessary. I am concerned for my son and my safety and yet I was verbally abused.

As I know you will do nothing about it, I still wanted it recorded in her record for other mothers who are abused. I found these judges biased, perhaps because they are paid under the table or simply because I am black listed for fighting for the truth. You may want to tell judge Ireland, I did serve our country (Army) while Mr. Lindstrom sat behind a desk. She shouldn't believe everything she hears.

That failure to follow simple guidelines of their post makes a judge's action no longer a Judicial act but an Individual act as the act represents their own prejudices and goals . Case Law also states that when a judge acts as a trespasser of the law , when a judge does not follow the law ,he then loses subject matter jurisdiction and the Judges orders are void ,of no legal force or affect . (Fed. Court title 42).

Sincerely,

Marguerite Patterson