State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-018	
Complainant:		No. 1282010634A
Judge:		No. 1282010634B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that several court of appeals judges ignored his pleadings and improperly ruled on his appeals because he is a pro se litigant.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant along with the online docket, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 23, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 23, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Your name:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-018

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	_ Judge's nan	ne: _	_ Date:	1/10/2012		
words what the judge did times and places that will documents. Print or type of	the this form or plain paper of the sthat you believe constitutes judic help us understand your concern on one side of the paper only, an plaint is against All	ial misconduct. Be s as. You may attach a d keep a copy of the	pecific and list all of additional pages but recomplaint for your t	the names, dates, not original court		
that hav	<u>re been involved in m</u>	y <u>7</u> Valid	<u>b</u>	eginning		
with Case No.		ending wit	<u>h</u>			
<u>T</u>	he Fact is that All	of these 7 V	alid	have		
been Unfair1y/D	ishonestly "Dismisse	d" since 9/2	006 by this	Court.		
It must be understood that I am a Disabled Person (American Veteran)						
having been <u>Unf</u>	airly Forced into Re	presenting my	yself as "Pro	Se" within		
these 7	and I have bee	n taken adva	ntage of, by	the Tucson		
-	and Opposing Sid	es within the	ese_	because		
I am Not a Lega	1 Attorney, Nor am I	able to Affo	ord to hire a	Legal Att-		
	ent me in these Appe		· .			
the Fact that t	he Tucson					
and others have	Only been interested	d in "Affirmi	ing" the Prio	r Trial Cou-		
rt's (Superior Court Of		Arizona) Dec	cisions and		
to Protect the	Opposing Sides of the	ese <u>7</u>	there	fore, denying		
the Opportunity for Proper Justice to be decided. During the Time-Frame						
of these 7 I had submitted "Motions" for myself to receive						
"Disability Acco	ommodations" to This	Court for th	iese 7	but		
	ions" were <u>Not</u> Addres			nis Court.		
	a copy of one of my '					
	nd also a copy of			der/Decis-		
ions to 1 of the	e 7 of my	for Proof	of Judicial M			
by these Severa	1 Tucson		in this matt			
_	has Purposely Sea					
in these 7		_	Quickly and			
and to Wrongly a	and <u>Unfairly</u> take the osing Sides, while Vi	side of the	Trial Court	and to		

(Attach additional sheets as needed)