

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-036

Complainant: No. 1216110527A

Judge: No. 1216110527B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner appointed counsel to represent him against his wishes.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant along with electronic court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's ruling. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 5, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 5, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-036

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 2-6-12

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

On Feb. 2, 2012, I appeared for a Arraignment hearing before Comm. When my Case was Called Comm. told me what I had been Charged With. During the hearing I told the Comm. that I did not want any public defendants Counsel to represent me in this matter, I told him publicly that I would represent myself "Pro-Per". My right to do this was denied! For reason unknown Comm. appointed me Counsel. See Copy of Order of Reimbursement document, It States ~~that~~ and I quote (I now request such Counsel be appointed). Comm. Rees and the Superior Court of Arizona, has Chosen to Micro-Manage this Case. I have a Couple of Motions pending before a Comm. Mullenbaw that I am Sure will not get the attention they deserve.

Comm. , Against my wishes, and my rights, to waive Counsel appointed me anyway. Prior to the Arraignment hearing On or about 1-24-12, I filed a motion Asking Comm for a Pretrial Evidentiary hearing be set. Comm failed to entertain the motion.

Again Micro-Managing this Case!!! This abuse of Power Can not be tolerated in our Judicial System.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)