State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-078

Complainant:

No. 1438610324A

Judge:

No. 1438610324B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge violated his due process rights by refusing to remove a juror, and the judge demonstrated favoritism toward the prosecutor.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 1, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 1, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-078

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

÷

Judge's name:

Date: 3-18-12

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files. From THE DAY of JURY Selection up To THE Trial itself, THIS Judge Acted AND BIAS AND Impropriety in My CASE. extreme Prejudice KNOW THAT THERE is A Level Betwee Ŧ AdvocAEL THe Counsel ELANSE THEN work TogetHer all THE Procedutors \mathbf{T} dges Are SUNDOSED be fair And impartia BATING EVEN A improprietyin 0-THIS Judge Had ANV LASE KNOWLACO THE VIOLATION Responsible of PArt MV CONSTITUTIONA Due Process THE trial by AN impartial Jury. of THIS Judge is Guilty Violating MU CONSTIT LAW AND Also Process 04 5 280.55 (9)/2) KNOWLAGE Being A Derson WHO HAK My right being violated HAVING THE Poulr Are ere correct or Dreven Wrong being THO Dore to ne. 50 8 05 1343(9)(2,) THE very start From <u>Proceeding's</u> JUDGA gided Judicing MV CASE CAUSED DAY WH:CH irreperable MArm. A7 T AND 200 Brought deps Attention THA nembers WAS correc ice 0 04 ArizoNA of Correct CONS AND HAd Been Defendant. TO Attorneris AS HAVEING A WITCHAND tent TO PUNNISH BECAUSE wa corrections >> ۵ us 0. eing at THAT Juror SHould 61 TH removed replaced ANO ordance with Anna down 0-f 15 The United States TI ON AND CONSY LANX

(continued

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

PAge 2.

2012-078

(continued)

Additionally, it should be noted THAT when This fact 1. was presented To THIS Judge, SHE seemed only to be 2. worried about The Time on The clock and not my 3. constitutional rights! I felt like SHe (THE Judge) 4. WAS Acting A Bit Like Alice Down THE RAbbit Hole. 5. I'm LAte, I'm LAte, Also, it would Appear THAT THIS 6. Judge Showed A serious Level of FAVO-Ability Tword's 7, THE Prosecutor in THis Case And THIS Judge WAS of 8. A culpable state of mind Throughout The entire 9, Criminal Justice process, The other Defendent who 10. WAS in THIS CASE Tried To offer AN Addmission of 11. Guilt THrough A Sworn Affidavit AS TO MY INNOLENCE 12. However THE Judge Refused To Acquiese To even Road 13, or accept Said Document. I AM A BLACK MAN WHO 14. WAS Already Serving Time in THE ADIZONA Dep't of 15, Corrections on unrelated charges, And if not for 16. THis serious manifest Intustice, I would Have 17, Been released from prison on 8-19-2011. But it would 18, Appear THAT THis Judge presumed my Guilt Before 19. A finding or Admission was made. I Am Saddened 20, AND even Heartbroken THAT A Superior court Judge 21, could Hold So Little value or even contempt for 22, The rule of LAW, This Judge Knowingly, willfully 23, And intentionally Allowed me To be convicted 24 without The state officing Adiquacy of Proof 25. or expressing Due Dilligence in doing they Fact 26, Finding WHAtsoever. 27, PAGE 3. (continued) 28.

2012-078

(continued) THE Judge And The state Has oblightions ... To 1. upplot and Defend The constitution and LAWS of 2. The united states and the state of Arizona in 3. WHICH THey reside. My conviction Rested Soley 4. ON A TEXT MESSAGE THAT WAS Admitted into THE 5. Evidence But SHOULD HAVE BEEN excluded AS Heresay. 6. The supreme courts previous ruleing's on such 7. Essues were Brought To THE Judges Attention, 8, But THis Judge seemed To Have A Strong Desire 9. To circumvent THE Higher Court's previous Ruleing's 10. AS THOUGH it was NON-existant. The state or 11. THE STAtes Attorney wever provided Any Record of 12. FAct finding Nor did They offer Any proof HS to 13, The Authenticity of or The Bright of The Text 14. message. The person who signed that Text Does 15. Not exsist And I contend is AN Artificial Created 16. Being of The state, There is Also A Question of A 17. Broken CHAin of custody which Leaves Room for TAMpering 18. with Evidence. There is NO Question as to my 19, INNOLENCE in THIS MATTER, SO TO Argue THAT POINT 20, Any firther would be moot! But The Serious And 21, Deliberate missionduct By THE Judge in THIS CASE is 2Z, Appauling TO SAY THE very least. I Also wan't To 23, KNOW WHO, And At what stage of The proceeding 24. THE court Reporter was instructed not To Record 25. Voir Dire .: This beg's The Question of what 26 WAS SAID TO THE JURY IN THIS CASE? 27, Page 4, 28.

2012-078

(continued) Voir Dire, is AN important part of Any JurytriaL 1. Proceeding And it Allows one To Review what 2. WAS SAID TO THE Juny By THE Judge, Prosecutor 3, And even Defense counsel in A Jury triAL SO THAT 4. THE interist of Justice is maintained and There is 5, NO room for Jury TAmpering or ANY MissleAding 6. of THAT Jury, Voir Dire is AN important part 7, of A Juny Trial Because it Keep's Everybody Homest 8, So To Speak. That is why it is included in A 9. Request for preparation of Record's form on A 10. Rule 32, Proceeding, So, my Question now is 11. who is Responsible for Instructing the court 12. Reporter To Ommitt THE Voir Dire from the 13. Transcription of THis Jury triAL: And what 14. ever Happened To - Right's of the accused, 15, Burden of proof, And Quantified probability 16, Estimates,? What HAppend To THE Rule of 17. LAW, I KNOW THAT THE COMMISSION HAS NO Ability 18, To overturn A cont courts Decision, But I WANT 19, my statement To Have A voice And for A Record to 20, Reflect, THAT I MAINTAIN MY INNOCENCE AS TO THESE 21. PArticular matters, I still believe in The LAW, I 22, Believe in The criminal Justice process ... But You 23, Judges are supposed to be finders of fact, 24. of Truth And UpHolders of The LAW. It is 25, what Allows us citizens To CALL Judges 26, Your Honor, With Honor, 27. PAge S, 28.