

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-106

Complainant: No. 1440610211A

Judge: No. 1440610211B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased, repeatedly interrupted her, did not allow her an opportunity to be heard, and improperly allowed the admission of certain evidence.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, documents from the case file, and the recording of one hearing, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 16, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on July 16, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-106

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name

Date: 4-23-12

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

1. I feel the judge was biased toward the petitioner. I was unable to state my opinions and concerns regarding my son. I was interrupted and physically and verbally dismissed every time I was asked a question. I do not feel that my "right to be heard" was met. (ex parte communication)

2. The petitioner previously filed a letter regarding my son's custody and was told that it was filed incorrectly. However the judge still allowed it to be admitted.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)