State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-107	
Complainant:		No. 1440710972A
Judge:		No. 1440710972B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly inserted herself into the investigation and prosecution of a case and an appellate court judge improperly sat on a panel for a case in which he had previously ruled while serving as a superior court judge.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the judges' responses, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 29, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 29, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington St. Ste 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007 APR 2 7 2012

April 25, 2012

Re: complaint against Judge

and Justice

Arizona Supreme Court

To: Whom it May concern

The following article and complaint (based on court documents) show some serious problems with two judges, Would you please investigate the corruption and infidelity and unprofessionalism and unethical behavior and respond back to me?

Although not stated in the article below, the two case numbers are:

And

(mistrial) and

And in addition Brutinel was on a panel making a decision to deny my Supreme Court
Petition in the case, yet made a ruling in the case when he
was briefly on it (in), therefore he had no business being part of a 3judge panel denying my Petition. That began as Court of Appeals case No.

So, you have a problem with wayward judges who sleep together, influence pedal, and I want you to take action.

All of these supporting documents are readily available to you; simply ask the attorney and the court clerk for them (including the alleged audio tape); I'm not going to round them up for you.

I eagerly await your reply. Thank you,