

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-111

Complainant: No. 1441210675A

Judge: No. 1441210675B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a municipal court judge acted improperly in the selection of jurors for his case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the electronic record of the case, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the court's ruling in the complainant's case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 26, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 26, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-111

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____

Judge's name: ^{How} _____

Date: 4-29-12

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

JUDGE Allowed Alternate Juror
name to be HAND picked From very top
of Bowl.

The juror whose name was not fairly
picked from bowl has been taken to by
witness. I feel this was the courts way of
covering up what went wrong - My Att. did
not object to witness taking to juror
JUDGE did nothing JUDGE Allowed Jurors
Name to be ~~hand~~ hand picked - Not Fair
to me

(Attach additional sheets as needed)