

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-125

Complainant: No. 1436910527A

Judge: No. 1436910527B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner violated his rights during his arraignment.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 25, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 25, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-125

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name:

Judge's Name:

Date: 5/10/12

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I AM FILING A COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE FOR HIM KNOWINGLY GOING AGAINST ME AT MY ARRAIGNMENT HEARING WHEN I GO THROUGH THE NOVEMBER 3RD 2011 HEARING OF WHAT SUPPOSEDLY TRANSPIRED. DIDNT OCCUR ACCORDING TO WHAT WAS WRITTEN IN THE REPORT. COMMISSIONER HAS THE SPECIAL TALENTS TO BE ABLE TO GO OVER A REPORT AND ADAPT TO WHEN SOMETHING DOESNT SOUND RIGHT IN THE REPORTS TO NEGLECT AND PREVENT FOR THE OFFICER VIOLATING MY UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE TYPICAL ERRORS THAT WERE DESCRIBED, AN ENCLOSED THROUGH-OUT THE COMPLAINT AGAINST ME.

I AM MAKING YOU AWARE OF MY RIGHTS BEING VIOLATED. JUST SO YOU KNOW, AND THERE WILL NOT BE NO REASON NOT TO KNOW.
ART 6 SEC 26

NOW THAT I HAVE MADE YOU AWARE OF THE SITUATION TO WHATS GOING ON. IVE NOW MADE YOU AWARE TO SOMETHING I KNOW YOU KNOW.

EACH ONE OF THESE JUDGES INVOLVED. PLAYS A ROLE OF A XENOPHOBIA AGAINST ME. ON STERED-TYPING ME ON HOW THEY CAN ASSUME MY CRIMINAL BACKGROUND WAS IN THE PAST. BUT ULTIMATELY THEY SHOULDN'T BE PREJUDICE AND HARASS ME DUE TO MY SOCIOECONOMICAL STATUS ON THINGS THAT I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED OR ENCOUNTERED WITH IN THE PAST.

TECHNICALLY SPEAKING, NOBODY HAS JURISDICTION OVER ME, BECAUSE OF THE OFFICER INVOLVED NEVER ARRESTED ME FOR A THEFT.

NEVER WAS THEIR ANY NAMES BROUGHT UP IN THE REPORTS.
OR ANYBODY TO IDENTIFY ME DOING ANYTHING REMOTELY CLOSE TO A THEFT FOR THIS OFFICER TO COME IN CONTACT WITH THESE CHARGES.

KEEP IN MIND THAT A JUDGE IS BOND BY AN OATH ART 6 SEC 26
EACH JUDGE AND JUSTICE OF THE PEACE SHOULD SUPPORT THE CONSTITUTION.
ENTERING THE DUTIES OF HIS OFFICE YOU ARE POWERFUL ENOUGH
PERSONALLY IN MY RIGHT FRAME OF MIND TO READ THE HIEROGLYPHICS WELL.

UNFORTUNATELY WHATS DONE IS DONE NOW, BUT FORTUNATELY MY
POINT WILL GET ACROSS LOUD AND CLEAR BEFORE ITS ALL OVER WITH.

WITH ME NOW BRINGING IT TO EVERYBODYS ATTENTION IM TUNING EVERYONE
IN TO SOMETHING THAT COULD HAVE BEEN ELIMINATED A LONG
TIME AGO THROUGH THE FIRST JUDGE WHEN FIRST BOOKED IN THE INITIAL
HEARING.

LEGALLY I'VE BEEN SHAMED FROM THE JUMP FROM BOUNCING ALL
AROUND THROUGH THESE KANGAROO COURTS TO MAKE ME LOOK
WRONG ON A IMPROPER INVESTIGATION THAT A POLICE OFFICER
SAID HE OBSERVED ME FROM THE BEGINNING NOT NOTHING WRONGS.