State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition	of Complaint 12-129
Complainant:	No. 1430810885A
Judge:	No. 1430810885B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge and a court commissioner violated his legal rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge and commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant along with the electronic records of the complainant's former and current cases, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that neither the judge nor the commissioner violated the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officers' rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 26, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 26, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2012-129

commissioner

COMPLAINT AGAINST A-JUDGE

commisserer

Your Name

_ Judge's Name:

Date: MAY 10th 2012

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

The commissioner is not assigned to this case
reference to administer justice. is
depriving constitution rights of myself(
) as a united States Citizen. The
current commissioner is assigned to this case
record for an intrapment attempt on fedral Chance
of Charges. This State Superior County
commissioner having been paid for services of a
settlement conference in CR2007-
a thought to be friend (paid informant)
Yates was present for in the year 2002. The ill
Commissioner has taken Rights
intitled to me in this case away when having
previously knowen case CR2007- was then
cover up for dirty/crocked/criminal police officer
son of Judge of
Counties Az. superior Court.
Just as well commissioner is
awall of entire previous foregoing casett record with clerk's
office Michael K-Jeans, the unlawful Police officers most
recent death attempt on Mr. 1:fa in CR2011-
on united State Interstate 10 routh phoenix March 19th 2011. Also
of Mr. advancements being civil Rights violated
of Mr. advancements being civil Rights violated by County State of ARIZONA at every.

any, or all instances. As with the use of current Court Appointed Counsel one

These as well as other factors highlight Commissoner as interest being a conflict what is most significant that commissioner failed to exercise judical restrant It's the recognition of alledge defendant Commissioner is involved in active harrassment also orgoing retalition for failed actions of the said persons in this compliant. To the Contary not a sign of strenth for the curreptinor the injust, nor rightones, although (said persons) evilness not properly delt with sure to further apparent influences. Currently the Court appointed Counsellwhom deemed ineffective by alledge defendant); County Attorneys offine Kansus ?, and County Commissioner superior court.