State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-158	
Complainant:		No. 1444100316A
Judge:		No. 1444100361B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a small claims hearing officer improperly rushed his case, accepted the false testimony of the defendant and his witness, and was disrespectful to his spouse.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the hearing officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing all of the information provided by the complainant, the hearing officer's response, and the recording of the hearing, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the hearing officer did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the hearing officer's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 15, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 15, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-158

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

DAY OF CASE

Your name: Floyid L. MAXWELL Judge's name: J. KENNEDY

Date: 5-24-12

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

THE TUDGE RUSHED THROUGH THE CASE BECAUSE HE WAS RUNNEN & EN CASES THIS DATE, HE SAID HE HAD A FUNERAL TO ATTEND IN CORNUILLE LATER ANXIORS AND IN A RIB WITHOUT PROPER FOUNDATION OR REASON NG PERSONAL PROPERTY STILL BEING HELD BY THE DEFENDAN AND MY WIFE KIM MAXWELL. THE TUDGE STATED TO THE DEFENDANT THAT HE ASSUMED THE DEFENDANT ITEMS IF HE STILL THE JUDGE HAS THE RIGHT TO "ASSUME" ANYTHING POSSIBILITY THAT THE DEFENDENT WEILLD I HAD IN MY POSSESSION SEVERAL WRITTEN DOCUMEN THE DEFENDANT & HIS WITNESS EMILY WERE BOTH LYING UNDER OATH. THE DUDGE WOULD ENTER THE DUCLIMENTS ASEVIAENCE. HE DOCUMENTS THAT COULD PROVE THE DEFENDANT HIS ENSWER TO THE COMPLAINT ON 2 THUSE DOCUMENTS COULD PROUS HE AND EMILY WERE LYING AT LEAST TWICE WHILE GIVING TESTIMONY. HIS ENTIRE CASE WAS BASED ON LIFS.

THE MAIN TRAVESTY IN THIS CASE IS THAT MY GRANDAUGTER,
EMILY, GOES AWAY FROM THIS JUDICIAL FIASCO THINKING IT
IS "OK" TO CIE IN COURT & UNDER GATH. THE SO CALLED,
JUDGE IS GUILTY OF MIS CONDUCT AND GUILTY OF GIVING THIS
14 YEAR OLD GIRL THE WRONG IMPRESSION OF OUR JUDICIAL
SYSTEM. SHE NOW KNOWS (IN HER MIND) THAT YOU CAN GET AWAY
WITH LYING IN COURT & UNDER SATH!

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

THE ONLY OTHER THING I HAVE TO MENTION IS THAT

IT IS MY OPINION THAT KENNEDY WAS CONDESCEND
ING AND RUDE TO MY WIFE, KIM MAXWELL, HE

AVERTED HIS EYES AWAY FROM HER TWICE WHEN

SHE WAS TESTIFYING, HE DID NOT SHOW HER BESPECT

THAT 3HE DESERVES BY LOOKING HER STRAIGHT IN

THE FACE AND KEEPING EYE CONTACT.

Floyd I - Maybell
-6-7-12

THE JUDGE COST US APPROXIMMATELY \$1,0000 BY
ALLOWING THE DEFENDANT & WITNESS TO LIE SEVERAL

TIMES UNDER DATH, HOW MANY TIMES DOES ONE

GET TO LIE BEFORE HIS OR HER CREDIBILITY BECOMES

QUESTIONABLE? IN JUDGE KENNEDY'S COURT

I SAW THAT IT DID NOT MATTER TO HIM, JUSTICE

WAS DEFINITELY NOT SERVED THAT DAY!