State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-230	
Complainant:		No. 1450010686A
Judge:		No. 1450010686B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge has failed to take prompt action on his case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the available electronic case history, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 21, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 21, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

1

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 **2012 - 23**

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: Date: Date:	8/23/2012
Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please deswords what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all or times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your	f the names, dates, not original court
I am a repubable dentist in	
New Mersico and I own my own practice as	nd manage
a number of people. In September 2011, 1.	became
interested in a trading renture proposed t	o me by
a man named . without	going
into lengthy debails of the case, I will	simply
say that the defendants (w	us they
now claim to be dead) and	·
fraudulently obtained \$ 20,000 from the 1 was	bold that
was dead, I brought a car	re against
who I believe to	be
guilty of fraud. (Débails in Court compt	aint).
my wife, us a be	iniber
(livigation abborney) qualified from one top law schools in London. She has b	of the
top law schools in London. She has be	een in
practice for 8 year. We decided to rep	resent
ourselves in this case.	
wrote numerou	enaile
to my write asking her to contact him a	
as he was the attorney for the defenda	int,
. However, when we served M	~
on May 20, 2012 he wrote a direct l	ebber to
the Judge and stated that he was no	t the
attorney in this case. The judge did n	iot ask
on May 20, 2012 he wrote a direct le the Judge and stated that he was no attorney in this case. The judge did not to contact him directly h	out he simply

wrote an order • all parties on to 7 Fill 20123 02) stating that the court is on notice that attorney position is that he does not and has not represented the defendant, ". Inmediabely on receiving this matter ny wife and I wrote to the Judge and explained that this was mideading as had always presented as being the attorney for the Defendant and we provoded the Judge with numerous emails from stating that he was the attorney on the case. had been sufficiently served. Therefore, Despite all of our correspondence, we received absolubely no reply from the Fudge and time went by. We were simply ignored.

My wife and I then contacted the Fudge's who informed is that

Secretary, the Judge was on vacation. We explained to her the urgency of the matter and she told us to combact the Fudge by writing more correspondence and copying all parties into it. We did so. Again, no reply from the Judge. we again contacted the Fudge stating that since had told us that he was the abtorney for the Defendant, and that we had served him on 20 may 2012, we now wanted to apply for a Default Judgment as we had received no reply from the Defendant or her

attorney. Monthe passed by and again the Fridge gave us no reply whatsoever. We called and exprained the prustration we felt at the whole situation. She assured us that the court had received our court complaint and summons in May 2012 and that the Endge was working on our case: we explained that it is now August 2012 and we had not yet been given a hearing date and the Judge will had not repried to our case that
was provode
mirleading information that he was not the was provoding explained attorney on the case. Again, that the understood our forwarions and that the Indge was now providing a letter stating a step-by-step grude on what he thinks mould happen next in this case. She told us we should wait for that lebber. (Please listen to the phone call conversations by evidence of this). We writed.

To our surprise, we received a lebber from the Fridge on 16 August 2012, that my nife claims to be a barrister from England bout she is not the attempt on this case. He stated that no correspondence should be made by my nife in relation to this case. That was simply the entire subject in the Fridge's lebber. He made no reference to our

countless attempts to request him to set a hearing date on this case and our notice of hearing and our issues about sering the defendant's altorney who then claimed not to be the attorney on the case. If anything, my wife had spoten to a number of who told my times and it was wife to write the correspondence. At no point did she tell ny vije not to involve herself in

Furthermore, when contraeted the Fudge ask the case. him not to contact him. If the Fridge believes
that is not the attorney for the
absorber nothing to
defendant, than do with the case, and the Fridge would ask him to also case all contact in relation to this case. On the contrary, the Fudge continues to send . Il correspondence on the case.

we strongly believe that that Fridge in this case and is giving our case no importance at all.