State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-244	
Complainant:		No. 1450710459A
Judge:		No. 1450710459B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge forced him to go to trial even though the evidence presented to the grand jury was false. He further alleged the judge did not understand or follow the law and allowed the prosecutor to engage in prosecutorial misconduct.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and several minute entries available online, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 4, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 4, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-244

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own

Your name:

_Judge's name:

Date: 8-12-

words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files. Begaring Because I'm not rich, white or politically connected. PUNISH MENT RUCK

totally disregulard of my Constitutionally protected Rishts. Her knowledge of the law is questionable. At the time my case was reversed in Nov. of 2008. She was duty bound to be remand my case back to the Grand Jury. Especially since the indictment as well as the coviction were predicated upon perjury as well as false evidence. Tet she refused to do so. Because my Appeal Attorney had to with drate since I cooldn't pay any more money to represent me. Which left me in the position of having to represent myself. I did manage to come up w/ an additional \$20000) for a motion to dismiss for prosecutorial misconduct, fradulant Grand Jury indictment, + other issues, (See Record on Appeal) But once Again Judge refused to rule on much less hear Motion. I did oftempt to point out the Misconduct of Prosecutors in violation of Rule 42 of The Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct. Which she didn't address I was later or vindicated by whom she MANAged to get both Prosecutors taken off the Case for Prosecutorial Misconduct. (See RO.A) But to date NO charges have been filed by the Judge Aspirst these prosecutors. I believe had I been white only then would she have done something. And since is A Mormon As is Judge nothing will EVER be done.

It cannot be said That Judge has not been BIAST fre judiced against me. This Commission need only see the Special Action filed Sept. 14, 2010 which the Court of Appeals refused Jure isdiction to so that they could to protect Judge , Judge Tudge I know that respond less of the Letter of The Law, and the Rules of Court. To protect their brethern notudge will rule against another Judge. Especially for a 3rd Class Citizen such as myself. In Arizona people of Color, have no rights see SB1070

Sewator statements while drafting E-MAILS ect.

Political Positions: "Since I Am not RICH, White, Politically Connected. NOTHING! will EVER be done. I Also Kwaw that Judge was BIAS ASAINST me because filed A "Change of Judge," Mution (Sept. 16, 2010) in which she pointed out several points of Contention: It cannot be said that the "Appearance of Impropriaty," Awas there, a she refused to take her self off the case so that she could punish me for filings by Who was only frying to Allow me A IDIRTRIAL!

This Commission needs to look At, 40CH Hebring see New March 10,2010, See May 13,2010, May 7,2010. See Nov. 2008, 8-20-10 & 8-26-10. In fact I know that the State is doing everything possible to Protect these Judges of All prosecutors involved in this Case. My current Court Appointed Coursel

A Also is working wifthe State to ensure this False Conviction is upheld. I know this since Ive not been Able to contact him by Phone or mail since April (Approx.) of 2012 to now. See ADOC Rone loss request, of Legal Mail Logs. Letters so unanswered, COIII's

CAN verify Legal Call request go unanswered.

Judge refused to SANCTION All State Prosecutor for Misconduct. Refused to SANCTION them for violation Court orders at Rulangs. She was also aware that threatened Pefense witness on CD by Phx. Police Detective on 8-25-10. In fact all Defence objection that

didn't favor the State, were rolled Aspinst, All Argue ments that didn't favor the State were clenied. So how can it be said I was Afforded a fair trial? I know there is no way Anytype for investigation by This Commission will be done. I've learned that The Injustice System in Arizona protects each other. There is no Evidence that points to me or the State would've presented it At Trial. The State clestroyed evidence I.E. Bloody finser Prints, VideoTapes, but Judge refused both instruction, the because the Jury wasn't soins to be Allowed to know About this evidence. I was the one who presented DNA to Finser Prints not the State. I was the one who presented Phone records which Judge Allowed the State fo twist to suit there case. She didn't correct the States mis representation of the Records.

By her own words she has stated that "The Truth
IS The Truth," showing pregudice a Birs aspirest me a favoritism
for Phy. Police at the State. Even though the record has Det.
Petective Cleary violations of Discovery & Disclosure of Evidence
Also was allowed to clear up Detective Lairds malfeasence, Perjury who while on the State
Stand. The State
Never disclosed the material regularding Petective Lairds
firing by Civilian Keview and Board in 1985 for perjury frounds
Suppression of Evidence.

I Am documenting this for the purpose of showing that there is something wrong will the so called Justice System in Arizona. I hope that I can show the News Media how if you are not White in Arizona how you are treated in the

court room	ns, And	All the other	investigative	entities	to protect	
		the Abuse of				
		to Not				
especially	to protect	other Judses	position on	the Bu	vch.	
,)	' <	other Judges Singerly	1			