

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-257

Complainant: No. 1452010866A

Judge: No. 1452010866B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly refused or denied every request he made to the court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant along with various minute entries available online in the two cases mentioned in the complaint, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 19, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on October 19, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-257

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date:

9/27/12

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

THE MATTER INVOLVES MISCONDUCT, MISBEHAVIOR (CRIMINAL: INTENT TO DEFRAUD) WITH SUBMITTED EVIDENCE TO SUPERIOR CT. / H. P. DEPT - COMMITTED ACTS OF FRAUD, DEFAMATION, ETC. ETC. / IN MY ORIGINAL COMPLAINT, I STATED, BECAUSE THESE MATTER IS PENDING, DOES NOT GIVE THESE OFFICER(S) TO HOLD THERE PERSONAL VENDETTA'S AGAINST ME. WELL THEY DID, AS I HAVE INDICATED IN WRITTEN SUBMISSION, ALSO INVOLVES - VEX LITIGATION (PENDING CASE(S)) - I FIRED A COMPLAINT TO THE U.S. DIST CT (AC.) - AGAINST THE CONDUCT OF BOTH PROBATION OFFICERS / WHO IN FACT CROSS THE LINE -

COMMON - LAW - A TORT CLAIM IN THE DISTRICT CT HAS BEEN FIRED.
RE: SUPERIOR COURT, MY REQUEST SIMPLY WAS THE REQUEST FOR DIFFERENT REVIEW, VENUE, BENCH, JUDGE, BANKING MEMBER ETC ETC.

THE COMM HAS REFUSED / DENIED ANY / EVERY REQUEST FOR A GOOD FAITH JUDGMENT IN MY "FOUND" COMPLAINT / REQUEST FOR SPECIAL ACTION, UNJUST ACTS.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)