

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 12-259

---

Complainant:	No. 1450210050A
Judge:	No. 1450210050B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge and a commissioner improperly issued two injunctions against harassment based on false evidence.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge or the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, which included several minute entries, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that neither the judge nor the commissioner violated the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 19, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 19, 2012.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-259

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: \_

Judge's name: \_

Date: 09.24.12

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

NOVEMBER 2009; COMM. granted

An injunction of harassment against me during my incarceration in Maricopa County jail. It defies logic to issue an injunction against an inmate serving an eight year <sup>prison</sup> sentence. On appeal Mrs. responded that were "I to come within a mile of her, regardless of whether either of us is aware of the others' presence, I would have to leave!" This is unworkable and unconstitutional. It is Kafkaesque, and metaphysically impossible to comply with. I DO NOT KNOW MRS. who together with her husband have harassed my family, and me since 2001. Her petition states that the " family live in constant fear of me, and I present a threat and a danger to the family!" On 02.03.2007 Mr and Mrs. and their son and daughter physically attacked me on the Warner Road pedestrian sidewalk in Tempe. The have destroyed my life. upheld

injunction, and "one mile bubble" exclusionary zone in spite of its unconstitutionality. attacked me in Starbucks on 11.02.2008.

COMMISSIONER granted them an injunction eight days later on the 10th, and again when I was incarcerated in November 2009. I AM THE VICTIM! Their subterfuge, and hoax is fraudulent, and in its twelfth year of onslaught.

Honorable has an obligation to the defendant to see that justice is equitable. Instead of invoking the State-Crime Laws, he granted my harassers an injunction against me which effectively grants them impunity to harass me. No greater miscarriage of justice could have come from this benighted decision of He gave the tools, and weapons with which to harass me! Quite, and utterly contrary to the intent of the Statute ARS #12-180? (Attach additional sheets as needed) and the Legislature.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-259

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 09.24.12

**Instructions:** You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

01.20.2006 COMMISSIONER has neither the author  
ity to re-write an Arizona Statute - A.R.S. #12-1809 nor can  
he act politically by overreach beyond his purview under our judicial  
system. Members of the Judiciary are precluded from acting in a  
legislative capacity by provisions of the Constitution that mandate  
a separation of powers between the Judicial, Executive, and  
Legislative branches. On 01.19.2006 he granted  
an Injunction Against Harassment CV# 2007. when I had an  
existing injunction against and her husband  
who were threatening my livelihood. injunction stated "there is a  
pending action involving maternity, paternity, annulment etc... and that  
I was charged and arrested for domestic violence!" No familial relationship  
exists between myself and the I have never had a conversation with  
them, nor is there a sanguinary connection. had Mrs.  
serve me in court! She is not an "officer of the peace", nor is she a "police  
officer" and she is not a "process server." COMMISSIONER quashed my  
injunction against with devastating consequences. I lost my job,  
reputation, licences, career, and ability to earn a living. harass-  
ment resumed immediately. Commissioner who granted my injunction  
in 2005 told me that I had grounds additionally for a "civil-suit  
against so egregious were their jeopardous criminal acts against  
my job security." Since issuance of injunction they have  
attacked me numerous times. Most heinously on 02.03.2007, and three times in  
2008. are harassing me. petitioned for an  
injunction keeping me "away from their property; enjoined me to not come on or near their house  
Honorable extended their injunction to a ONE MILE RADIUS which is un-

workable and inherently unconstitutional. The ONE MILE BUBBLE is 21st Century Jim  
Crowism precluding me from Tempe Public Parks, Public Libraries, and even my Church. It  
amounts to powers not intended by the legislature of regulating my conduct. I cannot  
drink a cup of coffee at Starbucks or on my way to work in my neighborhood. has no power...