State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-289	
Complainant:		No. 1454210929A
Judge:		No. 1454210929B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge demonstrated bias against a criminal defendant by suggesting jurors could find the defendant guilty of a lesser crime, which they did. The complainant further alleged the judge had an improper relationship with the prosecutor.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the electronic case history, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 5, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 5, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-289

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date:
words what the j times and places	ou can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a cadge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the	specific and list all of the names, dates, additional pages but not original court
	510 Declared the trial	she was
Judgra	a Mistrial because the Prose	cuting afterney
Start L	laing sowed the liction	apetive the one
andonly	picture he should her of the	c person on trial
when h	is atterney trud to have	the charges chropped
	said no he du	d nothing wrong!
On Sept	: 19th when the trial wa	s ado over Civilly
the sa		d the jury
they co	uld find the defendent gui	Ity of a lesser
Crime	which they did with he	r persuation.
Juli	believe shé uns a prosecutino,	altorney in
Maricola	County at the time &	he detendent
, -) had legal issues before	which makes her
prejudice	. I also believe there is	some relationship
between	and steen Ya	ing which is not
appreche	<u> 2007 Prode</u>	
	am also enclosing an	artick that
LUGS	on the internet	
	Thankyou.	
	l .	