## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-290	
Complainant:		No. 1454310797A
Judge:		No. 1454310797B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner was rude, condescending, and erroneously failed to issue an ex parte protective order.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the recordings of all three court appearances, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 25, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 25, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

## **CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-290

## **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 10/30/12
words what the judge di times and places that wi	use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complad that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specifill help us understand your concerns. You may attach addition one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the com-	ic and list all of the names, dates, ional pages but not original court
ON 10/22/12	I FILED FOR AN ORDER OF PROTECTION AGAI.	NST MY EX WIFE. I
WENT THROUGH	THE COURT ADVOCATE LOCATED NEAR LAW LIBRAY	RY IN MARICOPA SUPERIOR
COURT. / WAS I	NFORMED INEEDED TO FILE AN ORDER OF PROTE	CTION AND GO SPEAK
WITH	WHEN I WAS IN FRONT OF HER	SHE WAS VERY RUBE
AND CONDENSEND	ING, SHE KEDT ASKING ME WHERE THE VIOLEN	ICE IS AND I TOUD HER
THERE WASN'T ANY	BUT MY PROBLEM STILL MET THE CRITERIA FOR	AN ORDER. SHE WAS
RUDE IN HER TO	NE AND ISSUED A PREHEHRING DATE.	
ON 10/30/12	I MISSED MY PRE HEARING & BY 15 MINUTES	AND WAS INSTRUCTED
Ry	OFFICE TO REFICE AND I DID. 1	WAS PUT BACK IN
FRONT OF	AND SHE WHS SMU RUDE T	ELLING ME I COLLANT
REFILE AFTER A	RULING WAS MADE ITRIED TO EXPLAIN WHAT	HAPPENED AND SHE
SAID "I CANT COM	STROL THE TRAFFIC YOU NEED TO GET TO COURT ON	TIME " I ASKED HER
WHAT I COULD DO	NEXT AND GOT A MEAN "I WONT GIVE YOU L	=64L ADVICE", SHE COULD
HAVE BEEN NICER	2 BOTH TIMES ESPECIALLY IF I WERE AN ACTUAL U	CAM OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
I ASKED HER WHO	HER SUPERVISOR IS AND SHE SAID "I DONT	HAVE ONE " I ASKED
HER WHO I COUD	REPORT HER & BEHAVIOR TO AND SHE SAID "M	6BO()7"