State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-291

Complainant:

No. 1454400268A

Judge:

No. 1454400268B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a municipal court judge was biased, made legal errors, and failed to treat the complainant in a dignified and courteous manner.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 12, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 12, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

October 29, 2012

Commission on Judicial Conduct:

I respectfully ask this Commission to investigate Tucson City Court Judge didn't administer justice fairly, Judge for the following reasons: 1. Judge was biased from onset in making her conduct was unethical. 2. Judge decisions for the following cases in this packet against me. 3. Judge decision was decisions made didn't based on improper application of the against me. 4. Judge demonstrate competitent legal analysis. 5. Judge didn't act with dignity & also made reference to my courtesy on the bench when she addressed me. Judge being in the court almost every week for a number of reasons please note the injunctions I lived at got reference several parties in Tucson City Court. My husband an illegal halfway house unbeknownst to him and I over the following persons making a threat to to bodily harm to sex offender George Bidwell I reported it and we were forced to move.

When I moved into the property at 85746 immediately I had problems with Homeowners at

When I went before Judge the denied my request for injunction to protect me from harrassment, threats, intimidation, acts of criminal mischief, acts of criminal trespass, and criminal damage saying I'm a renter just move. After this decision was rendered I was terrorized on a daily basis when my husband my son were at work.

Please note the letter sent to our Landlord when this didn't result in our getting evicted from our property

filed a malicious false involuntary petition against me on 11/21/2011 which cost my insurance upwards of 10K. Incidently on this same day I

reported a woman by the name of for keeping 24k from a drug house that was busted next to her property located at (This petition was quashed on 11/23/2011. The parties listed above then used this false petition to get harassment injunctions against me in Pima County Justice Court and gave false swearing under oath to secure injunctions. admitted under oath she was hoping I would be found mentally ill and that she knew the Involuntary petition was false. I was then petitioned by with the same false allegations on April 19, 2012 it was denied on April 24, 2012. Had Judge granted my request initially for harassment injunction these unfortunate series of acts wouldn't have occured.

2012 - 201

Because of the stigma of the involuntary petition & the petition for title 36 various Law Enforcement Entities are still perceiving me as an unstable person. And even though these parties should be prosecuted for violating AZ State for filing false malicious petition they won't do it. I am respectfully asking the Commission to hold Judge accountable for her actions.

Sincerely,