State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 12-317	
Complainant:		No. 1441310308A
Judge:		No. 1441310308B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased and prejudiced against him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the available electronic record, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 28, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 28, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

2012-317

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

I Represent my self-suivuris en Propria Persona, my Legal Advisor XAvier Sedillo, Filed A motionto Continue, And he FALSely Stated I AGREE to Waive Time and extend the LAST day, Judge , had Asked me did you write this motion, (it was typed in black ink. I Am incarcerated And don't have Any Access to computor Nor type-Writter) I said No I didn't write that motion and I SAid I dis Agree with it. Then I told the Judge About me And my LegAL Advisors LACK OF COMMUNICATION Granted Advisor's motion NOW he is Not the Counsel in this matter, ASI Represent Self-TRAnscript Review 10-22-12, eWs-Legis, I'AM Flesh And blood A Human being And Not the imagin-ARY STRAWMAN, I'AM A AMERICAN NATIONAL. LegAL Advisor XAvier FALSiFied the Record, , is bias And unFAiR Conflict of interest thus Judge is unduly PreJudiciaL sides with State, doesn't want to HEAR defense NO.