

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 12-318

---

Complainant:

Judge:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner failed to properly treat a pending criminal charge as a misdemeanor.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the available electronic record, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 28, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 28, 2012.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

012-318

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

what the Commissioner  
deliberately denied me United State Constitutional  
Rights of Due Process of The Law as a  
citizen of the United States of America. comm  
is familiar with myself from a settle-  
ment conference conducted in  
The Settlement conference was had in either Oct,  
Nov., or Dec. of 2008 while at the time I was  
on release bond of \$1,000.00. The case involved  
an officer reported to be "Timothy Redd" in  
It's now learned the officers true  
identity is #7925 of Phoenix Police  
Department and son of Maricopa County AZ Superior Court  
Commissioner That's how I came into contact  
with Commissioner Spencer.

This complaint isn't an amended complaint. Earlier this  
year a judicial complaint was filed. I was released from  
custody in June of 2012 on  
with all my legal paperwork, property, mail, and belonging such as  
records held and kept by Maricopa County Sheriff's office. To which  
video footage still exists, of my release without property, at this time  
En case Commissioner

Spencer also proceed to continue prosecution of a felony when in fact  
pursuant A.R.S. §13-1204 A, B, e specifies the charge is misdemeanor. This  
is due to direct influence from comm. Davis to conceal false reports of  
and failed  
3/1/09  
purjury of indriement of  
information to intentionally deprive truth, justice, and much required medical  
relief. Also the mentioned officer Steven Davis #7925  
7925 in  
ILSF-022 - Judicial Complaint  
Commissioner Spencer used inside  
personell also officer Steven Davis #7925

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

This abuse of authority intrusted to Commissioner as a United States Judicial officer is due to influence from Commissioner and perhaps other Commissioners of Maricopa County Arizona State Superior Court. At any point comm. actions to advise both defense counsel also prosecutorial counsel of exercising Judicial privilege to, Order revisionment to correct charge or vacate felony charge to misdemeanor charge and or OUT) to the correct charge. In not doing so further highlighting influence from Comm. to conceal false reports (departmental /

inside information to intentionally deprive truth, <sup>and</sup> justice and much required medical relief. Also the mentioned misconduct continues to while judicial authority is abused. Myself, the Citizen as a result having AHCCCS medical insurance coverage no longer has access medical coverage due to extending the confinement by not properly handling the case as a misdemeanor rather a felony. Renewal of AHCCCS couldn't be had. At the time serious health care was required and currently health care is required. Upon release applying for health care coverage was denied from legislation by Arizona Governor Janice K. Brewer. It's the mentioned parties interest to keep Complainent suppressed as a foreian terrorist causing <sup>at every</sup> 3/1/09