State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

| | Disposition of Complaint 13-005 | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Judge: | | No. 1459610668A |
| Complainant: | | No. 1459610668B |

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace denied him the opportunity to present his defense and improperly threatened him with contempt of court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing all of the information provided by the complainant and the judge's response, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 28, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 28, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-005

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

| Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files. 1) Judge opening remarks directed to me on 20-Nov-2012 included instructions on how the decision he was "about" to make in the hearing could be appealed - this comment leads me to believe the Judge had made his decision prior to hearing testimony - the ruling was predetermined 2) This is the second hearing of this sort in Judge expired on |
|--|
| the decision he was "about" to make in the hearing could be appealed - this comment leads me to believe the Judge had made his decision prior to hearing testimony - the ruling was predetermined |
| the decision he was "about" to make in the hearing could be appealed - this comment leads me to believe the Judge had made his decision prior to hearing testimony - the ruling was predetermined |
| believe the Judge had made his decision prior to hearing testimony - the ruling was predetermined |
| 2) This is the second hearing of this sort in Judge expired on |
| |
| 15-Sep-2012. I had appealed that Order of Protection, as well. Seems quite coincidental that |
| the recordings of both of these two hearings 11-Oct-2011 and a year later 20-Nov-2012 were not |
| successful and unavailable for purchase and review. I am requesting an investigation into the |
| "malfunction" of equipment in both these hearings. I was informed that a repairman was contracted |
| to fix the recorder after the malfunction on 20-Nov-2012; I would like to receive a copy of the repa |
| order describing what caused the malfunction and what was done to repair the machine. |
| 3) I was not given the opportunity to deliver opening and closing statements, present all my |
| evidence nor have all my witnesses testify. Judge denied my requests, stated he had |
| heard enough, stated his ruling and ended the proceedings. |
| 4) Ms. nor her witnesses were able to attest to specifics with regard to the accusations |
| in the current order of protection, i.e. tormenting, harassment, vandalism, slander, weaponsnot |
| one person could state a date or time when any of these alleged incidents occurred. Nor could |
| Ms. name the horses she stated had been set free nor models or even makes of any of |
| the "strange" vehicles driving slowly by the property. |
| 5) I had requested the "rule" be invoked preventing any discussion of the case by any of the partic |
| involved. At least two of Ms. witnesses discussed the proceedings openly in the waiting |
| area and one approached my witnesses discussing and questioning them intently. The court clerk |
| and Judge were made aware of the situation and did nothing to stop or prevent additional |
| conversations. |
| Continued on page 2 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

| Your name: | Judge's name: | Date: | 08-Jan-2013 | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| words what the judge did times and places that will | se this form or plain paper of the same si that you believe constitutes judicial misc help us understand your concerns. You on one side of the paper only, and keep | conduct. Be specific and list all of may attach additional pages but | the names, dates, not original court | |
| | Page 2 | | | |
| 6) While I was prote | sting the accusation of "slander" a | at the hearing on 20-Nov-20 |)12, Judge | |
| would not allow my | evidence, dismissing it as having | no relevance or merit. Ms. | had accuse | |
| me of contacting he | r business associates to slander h | ner name. I was explaining | that upon the expira- | |
| | of Protection I did contact Mr. | to verify that inde | | |
| had an open balance | e on her large personal loan. This | s verification was necessary | to present as | |
| evidence in Ms. | bankruptcy case as she ha | d not disclosed the loan. | | |
| 7) Judge th | reatened me with "contempt of co | ourt" charges during my que | estioning of Mr. | |
| | be persistent and strong in my qu | | avoiding answering | |
| questions pertinent t | to the case. Ms. had bee | en in violation of my Order o | of Protection against | |
| her during an incider | nt on 26-Nov-2011 where Mr | was the responding office | er. Mr. did | |
| admit that Ms. | had been in violation of the or | der yet was not arrested. I | was questioning | |
| the reasoning behind | that action. | | | |
| | atement in the Order of Protection | | | |
| her or in the areais | s immediately contradicted in the | following sentence in that s | ame Order of | |
| Protection where she | e refers to a request I made of Jud | dge to allow me to | obtain my vehicles | |
| and other lawful pos | sessions from the property at | By the w | ay, Judge | |
| presiding over Ms. | bankruptcy, has since re | uled in my favor on that sam | ne request and I | |
| am in possession of | my vehicles that are titled in my r | name only, removed from th | e property at | |
| Ms. exper | nse, I might add. | | | |
| | | | | |
| There were many qu | estionable statements/actions/de | cisions in the hearing on 20 | -Nov-2012; leaving | |
| reasonable doubt as | to the validity of the current Orde | er of Protection. For these r | easons, I ask that a | |
| full investigation be in | nitiated as to Judge car | pability to act in the interest | of the people of | |
| Arizona without prejudice. | | | | |
| | | | | |

(Attach additional sheets as needed)