## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 13-022	
Judge:		No. 1461110355A
Complainant:		No. 1461110355B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge blatantly violated the law in his dissolution case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and various electronic court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 20, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 20, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

## , CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 **2013-022** 

## **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name:	Judge's name: HowkAl	<u>B Le</u> Date:	11/26/12
words what the judge did that times and places that will he documents. Print or type on	his form or plain paper of the same size to file t you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. It lp us understand your concerns. You may attacone side of the paper only, and keep a copy of	Be specific and list all of the chadditional pages but not of the complaint for your files	names, dates, original court s. COVETERANS
ADMINISTRE	+ ION DISABILITY	IN her es	
CALCULA TIO	ON OF SPOUSAL SE	PPORT FOR	MY
EX-WIFE. (S	SEE ATTACHED RULING).	I HAVE F	ileo
Several 1	notions statut AZ	25-530. A	LL motrows
& ARE DE	VIED AND JUDGE	re	Fuses
	A ERROR. I AM NO		YOU CAN
•	noce with		
	Be MORE REASON		
	THE TUDGES REFUSAL +	•	
- ·	HE ETHICS AND MAIN DUT		
			<del></del>
I APOLOGIZE	for the SLOP	PU HAM	WRITING.
T SUFFEREN	BRAIN INTURYS	THAT EFFE	ctmy
FINE MOTOR			
THE MOINS			
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
JUOGE	CRRORS 11	1/ 4/00 0111	IAICS
	HIGHER Settle Mer	• • •	
Leb ( U	THOUGH SELICITIES	V4 TUE KB2	- COUPIN-7
April 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	- All Andrews and		
		<del></del>	Market Control of Cont
		<del>.</del>	
			<u> </u>