

State of Arizona Supreme Court
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-026

Judge:	No. 1065310741A
Complainant:	No. 1065310741B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made inappropriate comments during his hearing.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing all of the information provided by the complaint and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 10, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on April 10, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

decision made by judge AGAINST MR. FROM THE BEGINNING OF
 HIS CASE TO PRESENT INTO QUESTION AND HER RULINGS / REASONS FOR HER RULINGS
 INTO PRESUMING DOUBT... DUE PROCESS DOES NOT MEAN THAT A JUDGE HAS A
 RIGHT TO SIT ON A BENCH AND RULE / USE TAXPAYERS MONEY IF SHE DOES NOT
 CARE IF A HIGHER COURT RULES AGAINST HER. WHAT SHE IS SAYING IS THAT
 SHE DOES NOT CARE WHAT THE LAW STATES, SHE WILL DO WHAT SHE WANTS !,
 THAT IS NOT JUDICIALLY CORRECT!!! IF THAT'S THE CASE THEN WHY DOESN'T THE
 JUDICIAL SYSTEM DO AWAY WITH THE "SUPERIOR COURT'S" ? , IT WOULD SAVE THE
 TAXPAYERS ALOT OF MONEY !, AND NOT WASTE TAXPAYERS DOLLARS DUE TO UNNECESSARY
 APPEALS / SPECIAL APPEALS / AND CIVIL ACTION BECAUSE A SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE
 () DID NOT CARE IF A HIGHER COURT OVERTURNED HER RULINGS
 OR CASES !!!

TO TURN AROUND AND TELL MY LAWYER THAT NOW THAT HE IS MY LAWYER (OR SOME
 SUCH THING) MY CASE IS GOING TO MOVE ALONG ALOT FASTER ? WAS SHE TELLING MY
 LAWYER TO WAIVE ALL MY RIGHTS AND TO HURRY MY CASE ALONG ? WAS SHE AND
 IS SHE CONTINUING TO CONVICT ME IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE STATE AND MY LAWYER
 ? THAT IS HOW I TAKE IT ! IM ALREADY HAVING PROBLEMS WITH
 MY LAWYER NOT DOING HIS JOB AND THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA ALREADY
 ADMONISHED SEE STATE BAR COMPLAINT , SEE ALSO

THE ATTACHED EXHIBIT NO. 1, STATE BAR REPLY DEC. 14, 2012. EVEN KNOW THIS JUDGE
 IS FULLY AWARE THAT AN IRRECONCILABLE CONFLICT OF INTEREST EXIST SHE REFUSES
 TO PROVIDE ME A LAWYER I CAN COMMUNICATE OR TRUST, BECAUSE FOR SURE
 NOW I COULD NEVER WORK WITH THIS LAWYER... AFTER WHAT SHE'S DONE IN COURT !!!

IM A SILENT INMATE WHO HAS MADE THE COURT AWARE THAT ON MULTIPLE

OCCASIONS THAT I WAS HAVING AUDIO AND VISUAL HALLUCINATIONS VIA WRITTEN MOTIONS AND IN COURT THAT MY MENTAL STATE WAS AFFECTING MY COURTROOM BEHAVIOR AND CAUSING ME CONFUSION BUT JUDGE POOK TOOK NO STEPS TO PROTECT ME OR INITIATE THE RULE 11 PROCESS (I WAS PRO PER) BUT ALLOWED OUR PERSONAL DISLIKES OF EACH OTHER TO ESCALATE INTO MAJOR COURTROOM DRAMA NEEDLESSLY, CAUSE MY MENTAL HEALTH TO DETERIORATE SO FAR NEEDLESSLY THAT IT CAUSED A MAJOR COURT DISPUTE AND FOR ME TO LOSE MY PRO PER STATUS. I DO NOT THINK JUDGE HAS HAS RULID OR JUDGED MY CASE SOUNDLY AND WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND CAUSING MY CASE DAMAGES AND PREJUDICES. I THINK YOUR ACTIONS WERE PREMEDITATED AND CONTRIVED TO CONVICT ME.

NO JUDGE SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO HEAR OR TRY A CASE AGAINST A DEFENDANT IF SHE DOES NOT CARE IF A HIGHER COURT IS GOING TO OVRIDE HER!, PERIOD! JUDGE POOK SHOULD STEP DOWN FROM ANY FURTHER HANDLING OF THIS CASE IN ANY MANNER...

PLEASE FORWARD ME A LETTER STATING YOUR OFFICE RECEIVED THIS COMPLAINT AND ANY AND ALL ACTIONS TAKEN.

THANK YOU.

BY:

2-10-13

(1)
CC: ARIZONA SUPREME COURT, 09: CV-13-0022-PR

(2)