State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-060	
Judge:	No. 1062414639A
Complainant:	No. 1062414639B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased, rude, and discourteous during court proceedings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and a number of recordings of court proceedings, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 20, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 20, 2013.

CONFIDENTIAL

Your name:

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Date:

2012-060

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's name:

I am the legally designated Trustee of the	Trust, which the Grantor	of
this Trust is identified on page one, item #6c. I am	a legal resident of the state of	
California, as are all of the beneficiaries named in		f
this Trust since August 2010.		
There has been an on-going property boundary dis-	oute between the parties named in It	em
#6a since 2008. In November 2011.		
civil action with 3 counts - The first count was show		TIC W
Court lacked subject-matter jurisdiction as the Sta		
		. 11
second count 3 years prior to the filing in 2011; the		
	dismiss the meritless counts and clai	ims
and ordered this "SHAM" pleading to go forward.		
My complaint is about the abuse; verbal, psycholog		has
not only allowed but engaged in, towards	the Grantor, and	
defendant/Counterplaintiff in the current case	is 65 years old,	
disabled, indigent and infirm. Being subjected to		
has seriously affected the Grantor's health and wel		
cruelty allowed by She has been de	nied her Constitutional Right to a jur	ry
trial, per the Seventh Amendment to the Constitut	ion of the United States of America;	she
has been "punished" severely, by purs	uant to a Rule 11 sanction, for daring	z to
exercise her Constitutional Right to question the G	overnment, i.e., the state court syste	m's
	offense and was not "punished;" order	
to procure and pay to tent a PO Box - Reason:	has not been receivin	19
copies of Plaintiff's pleadings. will not		200
aren't being mailed at all, as a litigation tactic on t		Percent
was required, by to provide		OLIVE
supposedly to facilitate receipt of all court documer		
other parties. The email has only been used once b		LUY
can be heard on the Court's recorded p		
for being personally insulted by a motion she filed		
jurisdiction. As further retribution, for this motion		
		47-
notions for discovery materials, WIT		the
connection between attempts to co	onduct legitimate discovery and	

feeling insulted by a motion to prove the court's jurisdiction, and his subsequent retaliation against her. is attempting to represent herself and is abusing his power without restraint. He is also acting in concert with abuse of by allowing abuse that rises to the level of cruelty at times. has allowed to submit exhibits to the court that are Copyright infringed materials, and materials that have been acquired by hacking the blog and stealing the copyrighted material. security measures of There is a Copyright infringement case pending against and in the AZ District Court. has been relentlessly pursuing me, personally, as well as the Trust Documents from the Grantor. I have provided a "Certification of Trust" per AZ Rev. Statute 14-11013 and CAL PROB. CODE Section 18100.5, which is the only document I am required to provide. My understanding is that has been advising to take to acquire the Trust documents. At the second hearing in this case, on May 1, 2012, provided my contact , and Plaintiff. information to the Court, Recently. during another hearing. was threatened with jail if she did not provide my contact, or last known contact information, to the Court - AGAIN! She complied and provided the information she had available to her. During the tax season I move my office to my home and travel between clients from approximately Feb through Oct. I did not believe it to be necessary to inform. of my every career decision. has acted with despicable bias and prejudice against e.g.: Due to an emergency missed a telephonic status conference and as a result was ordered by to further appear "IN PERSON." vere no-shows at the first scheduled hearing in this case on April 5, 2012. There were no consequences ordered as there were with Additionally, the Rules of Civil Procedure, regarding Motions for Reconsideration, are to be heard and reviewed by a different judge than the one presiding over the case. This did not happen. presided over the Motion for Reconsideration and brought to the court his bias, prejudice, for questioning the Court's jurisdiction. and verbalized anger towards How could a fair decision be made with those ingredients added to the mix? It is my belief that. has violated the following Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct:

His Oath of office to uphold the Constitution

Canon 1, Rule 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, Canon 2, Rule 2.2, 2.3, 2.6, 2.15