

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-061

Judge:	No. 1464000246A
Complainant:	No. 1464000246B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly cut him off from presenting his issues to the court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 26, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 26, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Instructions: Please use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. In your own words, describe specifically what the judge did that you believe is misconduct. You should provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint, but you do not need to cite the applicable canons of judicial conduct. Although you may attach additional pages, do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you feel will help us understand your complaint.

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 3/18/13

That I was convicted in Judge _____ Courtroom on July 31, 1992, of two counts of Burglary in the Third Degree, one count of Robbery, one count of Theft, two counts of Aggravated Assault, one count of Kidnapping, two counts of Attempted Sexual Assault and three counts of Sexual Assault in a jury trial. On September 15, 1992, I was sentenced to more than forty years in prison. On May, 1994 the Arizona Court of Appeals affirmed my conviction and sentence in this case. My conviction stemmed out of my juvenile court, that were transferred to the adult court in this matter. The problem with Judge _____ is that when it was brought to his attention that the law enforcement officers had abused me as a juvenile in order to get a confession out of me for this crime, my attorney had filed to get copies of my juvenile records but was denied. I was denied an opportunity to present witnesses to this incident of abuse. Lawyers that has been appointed to represent me, has refused to address this issues concerning the 16 hours of abused I endured while in custody of being interrogate by these law enforcement officers. When I filed my second and third Rule 32 petitions, the judge keeps bring me back on a hearing with a interpreter at court and when I tried to talk, he kept cutting me off, I kept asking for an attorney to represent me and he kept telling me NO! He was very aggressive towards me at ^{the} court hearing, and when I tried to speak or argue my point, he kept saying denied, denied, what else do you want, I would state my issues, denied. There is evidence of me being abused as a juvenile in the custody of some honor officers who arrested me in this case. This is a cover-up by the judge and the prosecutor, who has fought against me having any of my juvenile transcripts, which is the key to this case being vacated. I'm just not bring a accusation being a mad person. The hearing records supports my allegation of a abuse by Judge _____ in this case. Please help me!

(Attach additional sheets as needed)