State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-063

Judge:

Complainant:

No. 1045514642A

No. 1045514642B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice court hearing officer became angry with him during a small claims hearing and made a retaliatory erroneous ruling as a result.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the hearing officer engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the hearing officer did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 27, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the hearing officer on June 27, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 3/20/17

Your name:

_ Judge's name:

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

aint arises from a small claims complain. This COMM P anias an U 11/m

At the ons hear ing 1 IA N 0 Ø MOMIES Was A MY -1110 15 Õ 1111 ISSU A Ø S. w D

By this time my patience admittedly had worn thin and in a tone of reproof I said: "That is what I just said I harded you "as I pointed to it still in his hand. My response clearly riled him, His body froze and his eyes locked on to me in a facial expression that was clearly acrimonious It was thence forth downhill for me, I had made him mod.

ACP aa hIC Žel) p

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

8013-063 ~

the defendant's false claim of having paid \$300 as a deposit because I had given her a receipt which showed a total payment for both rent and deposit of \$450 but which did not delimeate which portion was for rent or security. (see did not delimeate which portion was for rent or security. (see the lease however served to clearly delimeate that the mones The lease however served to clearly delimeate that the mones apportioned to security was \$150,00 The defendant saw it as an opportunity to make a false claim.

B The defendant admitted clamaging my property. Mr. Parker thus being unable to go so far as to deny me compensation for the clamages click the next best thing by reducing the allowed amounts for those clamages by reducing the allowed amounts for those clamages to a ridiculously low level, so low in fact that the to a ridiculously low level, so low in fact that the amounts which he allowed did not even cover the costs of the materials, let alone the labor.

Code of Indicial Conduct. He used the latitude of political power inherent in his ad hoc judicial of political power inherent tool to punish me for authority as a convenient tool to punish me for raising his ire. By the time the hearing ended, I raising his ire. By the time the hearing ended, I was angry enough to openly protest his malfeasance was angry enough to openly protest his malfeasance this response was a threat to hold me in contempt of court.

I am not a legal professional but I have participated in both civil and criminal court proceedings as an expert witness in technical matters involving firearms and I believe I have learned enough about legal proceedure and I believe I have learned enough about legal proceedure to state without presumption that this includual is not qualified to adjucticate matters of law at any level. He is not qualified by training, by temperament or basic intelligence to act is a judge and it is a travesty to allow him to clo so, such is tantamount to permitting someone with little or no medical training to practice medicine; and I am apalled that Arizona law would allow an includual like to act as a judge. The people of Arizona are undeserving of this indignity.

3013-063 3

Lastly, I am well aware that in legal matters the issue of credibility is at the heart of all contention. To that end I am more than willing and in fact eager to submit to a polygraph ex-amination and I would expect the other persons involved to do the same Should you have any questions, please contact me at your convenience.