State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

| Disposition of Complaint 13-084 | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Judge: | No. 1466011011A | |
| Complainant: | No. 1466011011B | |

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly assisted the opposing party in his case and was prejudiced against him because of his race.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 6, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 6, 2013.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 2013-084

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

| Your name: | 3 | Judge's name: | Date: 04-14-2013 |
|---|---|--|--|
| words what the jud times and places th documents. Print o | ge did that you believ at will help us under | ve constitutes judicial misconduct. Bestand your concerns. You may attack the paper only, and keep a copy of | a complaint. Please describe in your own the specific and list all of the names, dates, the additional pages but not original court the complaint for your files. OR MOTION TO COMPEL AND ENFORCE CHILD |
| VISITATION / MOTION | TO COMPEL AND ENFOR | CE CHILD VISITATION and Respondent's First | Motion to Compel and Enforce Child Visitation, filed |
| on August 15, 2012. On Oc | tober 30, 2012 Judge | denied the Motion , without prejudice, for failure to | comply with Rule 91 (a) of the Arizona Rules of Family Law |
| Procedure. | wrote a" Notice : | Respondent may re-file this request in com | npliance with Rule 91 (a). Please see Attached." |
| Dow | nloaded a printout from h | http:// weblinks.westlaw.com on October 30 | , 2012 on tax payer paid paper and equipemnet. |
| nad adv | vised the Petitioner | to get an Attorney when Petitioner req | uested a reason for court to denied his filed pleadings |
| but prints a full 17B | A.R.S. Rules Fam.lav | v Pro., Rule 91. Post - Decree / Post - | Judgment Proceeding for the Respondent |
| and attaches to the c | ourt order of October 30 |), 2012. During the hearing on 01-14-201 | 3 the Petitioner expressed his frustration with |
| Assiitatant Attorney C | General in | regards to the State of Arizona holding p | payments from the respondent as a refund to |
| a Respondent that is | over 20,000.00 in arrear | s. The Petitioner further expresses that | g was order to present documentation |
| of payments as order | by the court in the Nove | ember 27, 2012 court order. The Assistant | t Attorney General lid not bring |
| documentation and | the Petitioner expres | sed that the courts order documentat | ion of payments for today as stated in the |
| courts orders of Nov | vember 27, 2012. The | Court and Respondent stated the hear | ring was not. The Petitioner expressed that |
| the court was wrong an | d also wrong for sending t | he Respondent a printout of Rule 19(a) to file | a Petition to see his child denled |
| sending the attachme | ent and stated the Petition | oner has expressed his frustration with the | AG and the court and states the Respondent |
| had prior requested to ch | nange to Maricopa county. T | he Respondent never request the statement | nade. was asked remove |
| remove himself fro | | | d resolve this case and not be impartial. |
| 1 | as filed by Assistiant | Attorney General after 7 years of the I | Petitioner begging for the state garnish the |
| Respondents wages. Or | n January 15, 2013 | Recuses himself and transfer the car | se to who reasigned the case |
| to Judge | Petitioner fee | s and Assistant Attorney | workin bias and prejidice manner. |
| The is a child sup | port case to get su | upport from the parent that aband | oned three children in the year 2001. |
| The recording of the | hearing should heard b | by the committee as the Assitant attorney | General told a ly in front of |
| that she had given th | he Petitioner her Distric | ct Managers contact information. The Po | etitioner is Black and the responded is white |
| and appears to | | both and | |