State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Con	mplaint 13-090
Judge:	No. 1101214664A
Complainant:	No. 1101214664B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace would not allow him to present his evidence and was biased.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and available electronic court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 30, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 30, 2013.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 04-15-2013
words what the judge times and places that	e did that you believe constitutes judicial mise	ize to file a complaint. Please describe in your own conduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, a may attach additional pages but not original court a copy of the complaint for your files.
In this case the Defen	ndants provided proof to the Courts that the Plain	tiff repeatedly committed Perjury and misrepresented
photographic "Evidence	e" as proof of damage to his property. The Justice of	the Peace (Pro Tempore) would not allow the Defendants
to provide all their ev	idence of the Perjury and contempt of Court the	s proving the Plaintiff was being less then honorable
in his actions. Listed	below are the Court filing dates and Motion T	itles for proof of claim against the judge in
1A, Plaintiff filing dat	ed 12-27-2012 "Plaintiff's Disclosure Statemen	it" the Plaintiff states that the Defendants damaged
his carpet by pet Urine	, Plaintiff failed to Tell the court that the Carpet wa	s used and was stained when the Defendants moved in,
that the tenant befor	re the Defendant had small in-house animals a	and had urinated on the carpet thus staining it, and
that the photos he p	resented as "Evidence of damage" were taken	before the defendants and moved out and cleaned
the dwelling. The D	efendants have photographic evidence that	is date and time stamped the day the the carpets
cleaned, days before th	e lease was terminated on August 31, 2011. The Defe	andants were not allowed to show all evidence to the courts.
The court allowed a fe	ew pictures to be presented to the courts which v	were not believed as factual by the Judge even though
they were also on a D	VD that could be seen as factual and the dwelling	was clean as the Defendants stated on their response.
ARS 13-2702 Perj	jury, ARS 13-2703 False Swearing, ARS	13-2809 Tampering with Physical Evidence
1B, Plaintiff states th	hat the Defendants destroyed his property by	destroying a shed they built that was given to them
by the Plaintiff for the	housing of their animals. Defendants proved wit	h e-mail correspondence between the Plaintiff and the
Defendants to the C	Court that the Plaintiff "donated" to shed to the	Defendants thus giving it to them and it becoming
the Defendants prop	perty to destroy upon moving out so they could r	not be charged for cleaning the property of their shed.
ARS 13-2702 P	erjury, ARS 13-2703 False swearing	, ARS 13-2705 Perjury:
1C, Plaintiff declares	s that the Defendant damaged his battery in h	is pickup by neglect.
Plaintiff failed to mention	on to the Courts that his truck that is a Toyota pickup	(25+ years old) that is in less than good condition and the
truck battery had to be	charged by the Plaintiff before he loaned it to the Dr	efendant. Plaintiff was aware pf the condition of the battery
and would not hold	a charge more than a few days when it was k	paned to the previous year to the Defendants.
ARS 13-2703 False :	swearing, ARS 13-2705 Perjury by inconsistent	statements
1D, Plaintiff valued	the storage shed he stated he owned and t	he Defendants destroyed at \$1000.00. When the
photographic evidence	ce clearly showes a a value of scrap metal only,	, hence the Gift to the Defendants for animal housing.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

CONFIDENTIAL

. . . .

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 **8013-090**

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	Judge's name:	Date: 04-15-2013
words what the j times and places	You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. P judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and so that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional put or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint	list all of the names, dates, pages but not original court
1D, Plaintiff val	lued the storage shed he stated he owned and the Defendants destroye	ed at \$1000.00. When the
photographic ev	ridence clearly shows a value of scrap metal only, hence the gift to the Defe	ndants for animal housing.
ARS 13-2702	Perjury, ARS13-2703 False swearing, ARS 13-2705 Perjury by i	nconsistent statements
2, Plaintiff Filed	a false police report when he told the Sheriffs Office that the	Defendant was criminaly
destroying his pr	roperty and was trespassing, The Defendant was removing his own property	from the Plaintiffs property
and was in fact t	there legally as Defendant had a lease in good standing and paid in full the	re for had every right to be
there as Defend	dant was moving his personal property to and was moving out of I	Plaintiffs property as of the
31st of August 2	2011 as stated in the letter of intent of non renewal of least dated July 23,20	11 in which the Defendants
stated their inter	ent to remove all their belongings and clean the property before they move	d to
ARS 13-4261 L	aw enforcement Officer:Affidavit Section B, ARS 13-2907.01 False Reporting	ARS 13-2921 Harrasment
3, Plaintiff's Cour	rt document filed on December 27, 2011 "Plaintiff's Reply to Counterclaim"	
Plaintiff states "I	have no knowledge of a Suzuki motorcycle" Defendant not only proved to the cou	ert, and the Plaintiff admitted
under oath that th	he Plaintiff had knowledge of said motorcycle but did sell said motorcycle and faile	ed to pay the Defendant for it.
ARS 13-2702 Per	rjury, ARS 13-1802 Theft	
4, Plaintiff failed	to follow the "Arizona Court Rules of Civil Process"	
Plaintiff never fil	iled a Certificate of Compulsory Arbitration as outlined as step two in the ci	vil case lawsuit guidelines.
According to the	e guidelines any case under \$50,000.00 including Landlord Tenant dispute	s are eligible for arbitration
5,	awarded Plaintiff more than was stated he could award the Plaintiff in the	civil proceedings guidelines
of \$2500.00.	awarded Plaintiff \$3072.78. Clearly outside the	e limit set for small claims
6,	stated in open court that her himself was a landlord. Defendant feels	that by that statement and
18	actions he was clearly bias in this case and the Defendants had no chance	of a fair hearing or outcome
Closing:		
All document	ts, photographs, electronic correspondence, audio, video ar	nd digital photographs
will be provid	ded upon request for proof of claim.	