## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Co	mplaint 13-101
Judge:	No. 1025714672A
Complainant:	No. 1025714672B

## **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge improperly presided over both the complainant's criminal case and his marriage dissolution case and did not give him an opportunity to present his side in the dissolution proceedings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the judge's response, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 18, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 18, 2013.

١	AFR U 4 2013
l	*April Dar 2013
1	propriate the propriate of the propriate
-	
	To: The Commissions on the deceal (project.
1	To: The Commission on Judicial Consuct, Executive Director, George A. Riemer.
1	Chicatory, XIIII M. M. M. M.
	From
1	INRE: Judicial Misconducto
	Dear, MR. Deorge A. Riemer,
	Secretary of the second secretary and the second se
	+D, -, Dd Diba Enod to pro- + & Dila a
-	Complaint against the Superior Court
-	Complaint against the superior Court
4	for Judicial Misconduct.
	El have never seen a court document
	with a sudges name on it in this Case.
	with a gudges name on it in this Case. Il do not know who the fudge is That
in the	granted the fudgement of Dissolution of
	marriage that was entered into the
-	
-	
	in Case
	The Co. Sheriffo adult Dontention
area.	Conten derived me my Requiret to see the fudge.
	The Court did not accept the motion is
-	susmitted for the Notice of Contest of the
. 20	Divorce Deckee that was served on me in
	Sopt. 2011 while I was in custody at the
	adult Detention Conter since 55-04-11.
~	And the state of t

Page#10f5

2ent INRE: Judicial Misconducta By the Supposi the Superior Court. I was never allowed in the Court during any of the proceedings that took place in this matter.

The Potitioner LOR attorney her accomplices have committed Grand Theft, Home, Rand & Piension Fraud among other crimes that el am not fully aware of at this point in Time.
The Court failed to reconige any wrong
doing, Because Mr. susmitted as
rumber of Motions to the Court stating that they were just Jupos. I was denied my Right to Contest FOR propert the Evidence that the Petitioner & MR... provided me with. & MR. THE Divorce Decree that I was served with in Sept. 2011, was drawn up By in Sept. 2011, also. susmitted a Divorce Decree o the Court that he had drawn up on Jan-10, 2011. Due to the complicated & Confusing as stated typos. Dater motioned the Court to Rescind I.T. regetta of 5

Cont.

INRE: Judicial Misconducts

By the Superior vou in Jan. 2011, would'it had the division of Olir Mome & Proporty among other govets. The Pretitioner. Add draw up a Fower-or-Attorney Lor me to sign for dor in tuln'is. The Petitioner, petitioned the Sustice Court in order to remodify the Orper of Protection against me postdat We could communicate By Mail. She was not totally Truthful in this matter. She only stated that it was a She could take Face of Our Bills Taxes. The Petitioner sent me 2 letters while el was in custody at the Detention Center. She made false & misleading statements in order to continue me to sign the Power of Attorney over to her.

as soon as she got the Power of attorney
she removed my name from the Litle Deld
to Our Home & Property. She put them
in some sony elses hames. Page#3 of 5

Cont INRE: Judicial Misconduct à By the Superior Court. I have Been in custody pince 05-04-11. I Am indigent el do not have any fenancial means to hire any representations or an attorney in this matter at this time. adult Detention Center denied me a legal visit when alwas in the process of Hiringono while Elwas in Custody. Il thought I could take care of this from Prison & have the opportunity to book a logal professional in this field. The Petitioner, has hired JURDOCHE to process a Qualified Domestic Relations ORDER. I have sent them a reply informing them that I Believe that the fydgement of Dissolution of Marking line the Case is illegal UnConstitutional Unlawful wrongful, therefore el do not approve of the Form \*Content that they sent me will not do so until clam allowed Due Process of Law, \* present the Evidence facto pertaining to the criminal acts of and Les accomplices Attorney Page#4 of 5

pont.	
. ۱۳۷۰	INRE: Leidicial Conducta
gaaragangangangangan oo pamora sa naaradhala a shi	By Ill Superior Court.
and the state of t	
gante gantenina (secondo con con esta esta esta esta esta esta esta esta	There is something very seriously usong in this matter & Bling in cuptody
h BOLL COMMENTARIO SANCE ON SALES STREET, SANCE	wrong in this matter Bling in Cliptody
unius managamenta, ilea mitalianene	this Entire time of cannot findout
ompagni, rappostalismostinostinostinos (no. 1920).	exactly what it is.
۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰ - ۱۳۰۰	this matter for me & inform me of
gage, an agus maga panganananananan di Pengaban dan	Your Linding el would appreciate it.
ayayayaanaanaa waa naasaa, waa Sanaakhaa	
ig de seu grant de la proposition de l La proposition de la	
a contribute with the contribute of the second	Advantage to the control of the cont
ndekrijaljoškajoji ji mini —atelin stantojigi je n. st	
andromas yllenges surve <sup>e (Propressore of the 1875</sup> All (Medicine) (Medicine) (Medicine)	
الله المناطقة المناط	
ikka ammen fi fransk pedining infrancis famour (s. 1914). Henrikan stock och frankt fam	
o and an employed from the extreme construction of the construction of the construction of the construction of	
gapasa, vieto para varante en en vieto e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
gan man gelekske verkenske vilke sekske med 11 verkenske og 10	
ر المستورية المستورة المستورة المستورة المستورة الم	STANDARD AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE STANDARD S
a paganggapaga kapabangan dan apin mini pagi paggang kapabangan	
pay Sendaliferantes paint ikili ili manaraklali . eta	
المساورة ال المساورة المساورة ال	P.S. Shankyow;
المنطقة	
gang it germanik salah salah kermilangan semenah sasan sam	Page #5of 5