#### State of Arizona

### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Con	mplaint 13-113
Judge:	No. 1034714677A
Complainant:	No. 1034714677B

### **ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge prevented him from appealing a ruling and failed to take action against defense counsel for making false statements to the court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, along with various pleadings and minute entry orders in the case in question, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. The complainant has an appeal pending concerning those issues. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 20, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 20, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

## **CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
20	13	-1	13			

# **COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name:	Juuge's name:	_ Da	te: <u>5-13-13</u>
words what the judge did the times and places that will he	this form or plain paper of the same size nat you believe constitutes judicial miscon nelp us understand your concerns. You m n one side of the paper only, and keep a	nduct. Be specific and list all nay attach additional pages b	l of the names, dates, out not original court
ON SEPTEMBE	ER 6, 2012 IN.	COURT	TROOM WE
HAD ORAL AR	GUMENTS ON ALL TI	HREE ATTORNE	IS MOTIONS
AND JOINDERS	FOR DISMISSAL ON	THE PLEADING	GS THAT
WAS FILED IN	MAY OF ZOIZ. MY	PROBLEM WI	TH THAT IS
THE FACT THA	T THIS CASE WAS F	ILED WITH THE	COURT IN
MAY OF ZOIL	WE HAD A PRELIMI	NARY SCHEDUL	E FOR TRIAL
	OF ZOIB, HAD ALREAL		
DISCLOSURE	IN THIS MATTER, I	GAVE A DEPO:	SITION IN
	EXPLAINING MY PH		
MENTAL TRA	UMA THESE THREE	LICENSED BEH	AVIORAL
FACILITIES HA	D CAUSED ME AND	WHAT THEIR M	15 CONDUCT
IN THIS MAT	TER WAS.		
	TOLD THE COURT WH	AT WERE THE	1 TO GUESS
	ENT WAS BEING SU		
	HEM FULL DISCLOSURE	•	•
	A MEETING AT HIS		
	T AN HOUR EXACTLY		
	LAYING STUPID IS A		
	WHEN		
HIS CLIENTS	MISCONDUCT IN TH	IIS MATTER A	ND MOTIONS
ON THE PLEA	DINGS AFTER FULL	DISCLOSURE	AND A TRIAL
DATE TENTAUL	Y SET FOR FEB. 20		
	THAT		•
	FELONY BY MAKIN		MENTS TO
THE COURT I	N THEIR RESPONSE.		

JOINDER TO DISMISS AFTER I YEAR OF DISCOUERY AND A MEETING WITH ME AS TO WHAT HER CLIENTS HAD DONE WRONG WROTE THE COURT THAT I FAILED TO STATE A CLAIM THAT CAN BE FILED AGAINST THE STATE OF ARIZONA.

IS NOT A STATE AGENCY.

THEY ARE A LICENSED HEALTH CARE PROVIDER FROM OUT OF STATE THAT IS FOR PROFIT. THE ATTORNEY FOR I ...\_ CLEARLY FALSIFIED INFORMATION TO THE COURT AND .

MOTION TO DISMISS WITH NO GRANTED LEGAL AUTHORITY GIVEN WITH AN UNSIGNED MINUTE ENTRY THAT BY THE WAY VIOLATED MY RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS AS THE APPELLATE COURT IN THEIR DECEMBER 14TH DECISION INFORMED ME THEY HAD NO JURISDICTION BECAUSE .

MINDUTE ORDER GRANTING ALL THREE DEFENDANTS MOTIONS TO DISMISS WAS NOT SIGNED. DISGRACEFULL

I POINTED OUT THE FACT THAT PEOPLE OF COLORS' ATTORNEY TRIED TO DECEIVE THE COURT BY USING PART OF A SENTENCE IN MY RESPONSE AND ACTUALLY LIED WHEN HE SAID I DIDN'T SAY THAT ANYONE FROM P.O.C.N. HAD FALSIFIED INFORMATION ABOUT ME. THAT IS A FELONY AND I POINTED THIS OUT TO C AND HE STILL DISMISSED MY LAWSUIT. NEEDS TO BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR HIS ACTIONS

COPY of the foregoing mailed on this day of December, 2012 to:

כ