

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-114

Judge:	No. 1052714678A
Complainant:	No. 1052714678B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner failed to take action against an assistant county attorney for making a false statement in court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 20, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on June 20, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-114

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____ Date: 5-9-13

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

On or about April 2, 2013 ("MCAO") _____ was mendacious to _____

In turn, I _____ violated Canon 3(2)

Arizona Rules of Judicial conduct: Which states "A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a violation of the rules of professional conduct [ERB.4] shall take appropriate action." knowingly allowing ("MCAO")

to violate ERB.4(b) by committing perjury under ARS. § 13-2702.

is, there for, in violation of subsection (b) [of Canon 3] for not taking necessary action and/or reporting foregoing violation(s).

engaged in unprofessional conduct in violation of forecited statute, as well as ARS. § 38-241-oath admission to the Arizona State Bar, lawyers creed of professionalism of SBA, loyalty oath of office under ARS. § 38-231. As such,

is there for responsible for knowing about said ("MCAO")

Fabricated statement(s) (as they were stated in open Court (I have requested transcripts of said hearing)) without lawfully reporting them to the appropriate authority. This situation

is regrettable proof that the absence of ethical and moral behavior fuels uncontrollable acts. Here, the full force of said

knowingly allowed _____ to pulverize her opponent without

any meaningful investigation or evidence. Reviewing the events of this heinous experience leaves one troubled by the insolence that relentlessly and inevitably wafts to the surface upon review. I there for ask

The Commission on Judicial Conduct to investigate this momentous situation.

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)