

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-124

Judge:	No. 1045914685A
Complainant:	No. 1045914685B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made erroneous evidentiary rulings in his case, was inattentive, incompetent, biased and prejudiced, and delayed ruling on several motions requesting transcripts he needed to pursue post-conviction relief.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the appellate court decision in the complainant's case, and several minute entry orders, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 27, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 27, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2013-124

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name:

Judge's Name:

Date: 5.18.2013

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

(CRJ20) presided over all three of my trials which third trial was 2nd degree murder. when Judge Jurisdiction was Juvenile and family Court see Exhibit A. The case was transferred to Phoenix then Judge _____ transferred from _____ to _____ to try the case without Jurisdiction see Exhibit A.

On 10-28-2008 in case _____ I was charged and then acquitted of 2cnts of Aggravated assault, found not guilty which resulted in an Acquittal by a Jury. Also on 3-10-2009

I was charged and then acquitted of 1cnt of aggravated assault and 1cnt of Armed Robbery and found not guilty which also resulted in an Acquittal by Trial by Jury. The Prosecutor _____ in June 26th 2009 Evidentiary hearing entered into the computer system that I was found guilty of the charges instead of not guilty.

_____ refused to remove the illegal entry of Verdicts over defense Attorney

Objections. Judge _____ refused to remove false and misleading information. On 3/10/2008 _____ who was a

Witness in my case for the state committed perjury in the first trial (10-28-2008 2cnts of aggravated Assault

that I was acquitted. Then subsequently Judge _____ allowed _____ to testify a second time in the second trial (3/10/2009)

1cnt aggravated assault 1cnt Armed Robbery Using perjured testimony from the first trial which also resulted

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)