State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Co	omplaint 13-151
Judge:	No. 1035612161A
Complainant:	No. 1035612161B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge refused to follow the recommendations made in a presentence report knowing the complainant was being maliciously prosecuted.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the sentencing minute entry order in the case, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 18, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 18, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-151

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	ludge's name:		Date: 6-18-13
Instructions: You can use this for words what the judge did that you times and places that will help us documents. Print or type on one is the words.	believe constitutes judicial mi s understand your concerns. You side of the paper only, and kee	sconduct. Be specific and l u may attach additional pa	ist all of the names, dates, ages but not original court
November 2,	2012/to 90	days in Jai	1 for the
a Vehicle in	pound Report.	Attached	low will
find a Copy o	f a Search U	Grant Dates	1 oct. 4, 2011
bearing a reporto the Vehicle	e Impound Kep	This report	Comes back
At the Sente	encing hearing	Judge	refused
to Sign the p	resentence le	port offered	l by the
PSI Officer	-	the re	port hears
the report		Which Come	s back to
a unrelated	matter.		
Judge	failed to Sic	h the repo	ort because
he was well	aware that I	- was being	ng Malicioush
prosecuted.	Judges hold	irucial posit	tion which
require the	nighest level	of integrity	Judge
has to	aild this Case	on the &	drice of
my attorney	+ am tiling +	his new C	omplaint
asking that	Judge	se remoi	
from the bene	has a supe	erior Court	Judge.