State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-170		
Judge:	No. 1062914381A	
Complainant:	No. 1062914381B	

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner demonstrated bias against him by improperly ordering him held without bond on a bondable offense and by influencing a justice of the peace to continue holding him without bond when the case was remanded to the justice court.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 1, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 1, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDÉNTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2013-170

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _	Judge's name: _	Date: 1 July 2013
words what the judge did that times and places that will he documents. Print or type on	t you believe constitutes judicial miscondu	file a complaint. Please describe in your own act. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, attach additional pages but not original court by of the complaint for your files.
3-12-12		used his position
to influence		
Court in Action	<u></u> (b)	retalistion for past
	him And having him re	•
	<u> </u>	
Judge	influenced Judge	to change release
	Boud" in violation of	
		4 mouths to be corrected
by Judge		
ACTIONS I WAS	Held illegally At MCS	O. Judge should
have NOT viola	ted the Arizona Code	of Judicial Conduct and
by doing so he'did not comply with the LAW (Rule 1.1) He		
Acted in A MANA	yer that does Not promote	public confidence in
the independence int	egrity, and impartiality o	f the Judiciary, His
CONDUCT LESPLAYS	s the Appearance of imp	ropriety (Rule 1.2)
Judge FAI	iled to Apply the law Ba	ed Actually violeted the
civil rights of	by his interfere	ence with the Judicial
process, As he h	ad NO Authority in	Tystice Court and he
KNEW that what he	e did was illegal, unfair	AND PARTIAL IN retalistion
For Mr MANCINI &	exercising his legal rights	to File compariats Agarasy
Judge (Ru	le 2.2 and Rule 2.3)	IF Judge ACTIONS
		c competence, diligence
And Cooperation	(Rule 2,5) Judge	Failed to disqualify
		IAL bigs IN VIOLATION
of Rule 2.11.	. Based apply the above FA	cts I roquest that sanction
Be imposed Again	UST Judge (PNClosus	res

(Attach additional sheets as needec