State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-176				
Judge:	No. 1079214718A			
Complainant:	No. 1079214718B			

ORDER

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace violated Rule 2.6(A) by ruling without considering his pleadings and Rule 2.9 by meeting only with opposing counsel before ruling. The complainant further alleged the judge was prejudiced, in violation of Rule 2.3.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the complaint and the court file, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 4, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 4, 2013. **CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 2013-176

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:	•	_ Judge's name	: <i>:</i>	Date: 7/7/2013
words what the judge die	d that you believe co ill help us understan	nstitutes judicia d your concerns	l misconduct. Be spe You may attach ad	aplaint. Please describe in your own ecific and list all of the names, dates ditional pages but not original court complaint for your files.
On June 11, 2013,	has violated	Rule 2.2 and 2.3	of the Arizona Code	of Conduct. He/She issued a ruling on
summary judgement	against me not ta	king into cons	ideration my reply	to the motion filed on May 23.
I believe the judge di	id not look at any o	of the evidence	and took a preju	dice and unfair ruling based on
my foreigner name	which gave indi	cation of my	ethnic backgrour	d. I also believe that the
the plaintiffs Attorr	ney was present	when the jud	lge took the deci	sion and unfairly
influenced the decis	sion of the judge.	l was never o	alled for any hea	ring and never saw the judge.
I have submitted evider	nce, affidavit and ex	thibits to prove r	ny case that my wif	e was a victim of deception by the
Plaintiff but the judg	ge did not review	any of that a	and did not hold	any hearing in this matter.
Judge 'issu	ued the ruling pri	or to ruling or	n the motions tha	at were outstanding. These
motions, if ruled upo	on, I strongly bel	eve would ha	ve been in my fa	vor and would have granted
me dismissal of the	e case, or at leas	t allow the ca	se to go to trial	and give me a fair chance to
present my case, b	ut Judge	made sure	I don't get this c	hance and issued the biased
and prejudiced ruling	against me prior t	o judge	, the original judge	e assigned to the case, ruling on
the motions. I strong	gly believe that the	ruling in this	case by judge	was racially motoviated
prejudiced, partial a	and unfair and th	is case shou	ld have been at	least sent for trial.
I request the Comm	nission to investi	gate this Judo	ge and issue sar	ctions against him/her so no
other minorities get	unfair, partial and	prejudiced tr	eatment and uph	eld the basic principles of the
US legal justice sys	stem of impartial	judiciary.		