State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-196	
Judge:	No. 1096614499A
Complainant:	No. 1096614499B

ORDER

The complainant alleged an unidentified municipal court judge acted improperly by not dismissing several parking tickets issued to him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 21, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer Executive Director

A copy of this order was mailed to the complainant on August 21, 2013.

RE: PARKING CITATIONS/CASES:

Mr. George Riemer,

It is NOT my job to "remind" the court NOR the alleged Judge, thereof, of "the" WRITTEN LAW(S) and/or their respective duties RE: same, et al, etc. In my mailing in a copy of the following (ORDINANCE), it was done so initially, solely, as a courtesy AND to bring these matters, initially, individually, now, collectively, to a prompt conclusion and to REQUIRE THE COURT TO "AMEND their UNLAWFUL ACTS and to

STOP ALL and ANY future CONTACT by The Court, et al.

I provided copy of my letter from SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, dated 9-3-10, stating therein: "YOUR NEXT DISABILITY REVIEW WILL BE SCHEDULED about MAY 2017".

TOGETHER WITH A COPY OF MY REGISTRATION RE: MY BLUE DISABILITY PLACARD "THE" VERY DAY (August 10, 2011) PARKING CITATION WAS ISSUED, ALL THE WHILE MY BLUE PLACARD WERE LAWFULLY DISPLAYED AND ACTUALLY LITERALLY HANGING FROM MY REAR VIEW MIRROR.period. BLUE PLACARD FOR APRIL 9, 2012 PARKING CITATION WAS LOCATED ON THE DASH OF THAT VEHICLE.

Which poses "the" Question:

WHY WAS A)N)Y) CITATION ever ISSUED.period." AND
"WHY DID THE COURT/JUDGE UPON RECEIPT OF SAID BLUE PLACARD REGISTRATION
(I mailed same from downtown Tucson "the" day of within the hour of my receiving said Citation
AND BEFORE I DEPARTED FROM DOWNTOWN
NOT COMPLY WITH "THE" WRITTEN LAW(S)/ "A.R.S.'s" RE: SAME BY LAWFULLY IMMEDIATELY

"ADJUSTING NOT ADJUDICATING (anything)". TO SAID LAWFUL MONETARY CONSIDERATION OF:

\$20.00 USD, TWENTY DOLLARS US".period.

AND THE SAME FOR THE MORE RECENT (of the two (2) HEREIN STATED PARKING CITATIONS.

"in which enior Court Administrator, confirmed court/judge has received said

Blue Placard RE: BOTH ABOVE HEREIN PARKING CITATIONS"

(please see letter dated JUNE 13, 2012, wherein states, among other UNLAWFUL and/or FRAUDULENT acts/statements, on page 3 of 3):

"You have the option to file a motion requesting a review hearing of your case(s) or request an extension of time to file an appeal. A judge will review any motions you file and rule appropriately.

THE \$5.00 POST ADJUDICATED CIVIL MOTION FILING FEE WILL APPLY"

STRESS and FATIGUE are my primary triggers RE: my daily extreme headaches and, it MORE THAN APPEARS, "THESE" SPECIFIC UNLAWFUL ACTS/OFFENSES by (Arizona) Employees "EXPLOIT(ED) THIS/THESE VERY FACT(S)". "THE" SPECIFIC STATUTES/ORDINANCES/LAWS RE: MY

SPECIFIC CIRCUMSTANCES": SUCH AS: Ord. No. 9196, 1, 1-25-99, Sec. 20-222, (b)

SPECIFICALLY STATES THEREIN (ATTACHED HERETO):

b) IF the owner or operator of the vehicle involved in a violation of this section,
 SUBSEQUENTLY PRODUCES TO THE COURT PROOF OF POSSESSION OF A VALID PLACARD ISSUED UNDER STATE LAW FOR THESE PURPOSES,

THE FINE SHALL BE REDUCED TO TWENTY DOLLARS (\$20.00).period.).

NO PART OF WHICH MAY BE SUSPENDED OR WAIVED BY THE COURT.

"THIS FINE INCLUDES ANY ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED UNDER STATE LAW".

THIS DOES NOT NOT IN ANY MANNER, SHAPE NOR FORM (state) A(N)Y) NEED, REQUIREMENT, et al, etc., for my paying a(n)y) FILING FEE, including, but not limited to; "THE COURTS STATED INSISTENCE ON MY BEING REQUIRED TO PAY TO THE COURT A:

"\$5.00 FILING FEE RE: AN ALLEGED FILING FEE

FOR MY FILING A(N)Y) MOTION FOR THE HEREIN COURT TO HEAR MY ALLEGEDLY REQUIRED MOTION; "IN WHICH "THE" HEREIN REFERENCED ORDINANCE does NOT include NOT in any manner, shape or form".

"THE HEREIN REFERENCED ORDINANCE IS SPECIFIC/PRECISE/ARTICULATE".period.

"Yet, for whatever UNLAWFUL purposes, | as Senior Court Administrator and those, Junior to same, including, but not limited to one individual identifying herself as:

whom left a voice mail message for me prior to my directly contacting n which prior to receiving said voice mail message, I had faxed (please see said fax confirmation enclosed here wit) to a copy of said information, subsequent thereto my forwarding same to Said voice mail message left for me on Friday June 13, 2012 (in fewer words):

"I AM NOT GOING TO TAKE ANY ACTION".period.

In that "EMILY", among others, and the Judge for city of Tucson Parking Court
FAILED/REFUSED TO abide by "THE" LAWS "THESE" ARE SUPPOSEDLY REQUIRED TO KNOW, AND;
"THESE ARE PAID TO KNOW"; "thus,
PREVENTING MY BEING REQUIRED TO KNOW THEIR JOBS/DUTIES AND
PREVENTING ANY USE of MY TIME.period.
PREVENTING ANY FURTHER DAMAGE(S) TO ME and/or TO MY LIFE and/or HEALTH".

IN THEIR COLLECTIVE EFFORTS IN DEMANDING MONIES CONTRARY TO "THE" SPECIFIC AND ARTICULATE ORDINANCES IN WHICH FOR WHATEVER REASON(S) I WERE personally COMPELLED

"THIS" "COURT ADMINISTRATION IS LAWFULLY REQUIRED TO TAKE THE APPROPRIATE AND LAWFUL ACTIONS TO "fix" THAT WHICH TUCSON CITY EMPLOYEES HAVE "broken".

and/or their employees have committed offenses—that reasonably could include, but not limited to: "(CO) CONSPIRACY"—TO COMMIT—FRAUD(S)". In the letter from dated JUNE 13, 2012,,on page two therein, reference(s) are made RE:

"EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES",

Had "the" LAW(S) RE: said Parking Citations been LAWFULLY FOLLOWED "FROM DAY/MOMENT ONE and/or UPON INITIAL/INSTANT and/or REASONABLE RECEIPT OF SAME BY THE Department initially receiving mail via The United States Postal Service

RE: said alleged Parking Citations; There would NEVER have been a(n)y) opportunity for "Extraordinary Circumstances", et al, REQUIRING SUBSEQUENT ACTS and/or ACTIONS THERETO IN REAL TIME PER ABOVE HEREIN ORDINANCES I WOULD HAVE RECEIVED SOMETHING IN THE MAIL FROM SAID "DEPARTMENT" NOTIFYING ME THAT I OWED:

TWENTY DOLLARS, \$20.00. period.

(per each individual Parking Violation.period.END of story)! thus: THERE WOULD never HAVE BEEN ANY ALLEGED "extraordinary circumstances".Amen! thus: "IN CONSIDERATION of "THE" FACTS THAT; 1) I IN FACT DID CONTACT THE COURT BOTH TIMES PRIOR TO A(N)Y) DEADLINE(S) RE: RESPONDING TIMELY.period.

2) IN CONSIDERATION OF "NUMBER ONE (1) IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING HEREIN ABOVE "NUMBER TWO (2)"; NO HEARING(S) WERE EVER (PAST tense) required period. thus, further ALL and/or ANY SUCH REFERENCES WITHIN PAGES OF SAID JUNE 13, 2012 LETTER RE: "HEARING(S)" and/or "(\$5.00) FILING FEES" and/or "CIVIL MOTION(S)" "POST-JUDICATED" ARE AS EQUALLY IRRELEVANT AS THEY ARE UNLAWFUL VIOLATIONS OF the state of Arizona as well as FEDERAL LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES of AMERICA.

Same include my basic rights FURTHER violated by , subsequent to initial nlawful acts by those Junior to same, rather than do the right thing and take the corrective steps required by law(s) and honest men with a (clear) conscience in which I do hope this Commission on Judicial Conduct will take "the" appropriate and LAWFUL actions afforded this Commission to vacate and/or some facsimile thereof the two (2) cases herein referenced/referred to, in which "the" last (TWO/2) but not least" questionS being; IN THAT THESE VERY SAME EVENTS HAVE OCCURRED TWICE TO my person HOW MANY ADDITIONAL TIMES and/or PERSONS HAVE ALSO "experienced" THESE VERY SAME EVENTS? AND; "SHOULD NOT (ALSO) A COMPLETE AND FORMAL INVESTIGATION INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: A COMPLETE AND EXTREMELY INTRUSIVE AND AUDIT" BE SO ORDERED/CONDUCTED. (NO? HERE as IS rhetorical and (should) immediately answers its own self) My initial thoughts are, in that I alone have suffered "the" very same violations (PLURAL) vs my person WITH my BLUE PLACARD LAWFULLY IN PLAIN VIEW BOTH OCCASIONS AND BOTH CASES ACTUALLY MAKING THEIR WAY TO/BEFORE THE COURT/JUDGE WHEN BOTH COULD AND SHOULD HAVE BEEN IMMEDIATELY RECOGNIZED AND WITH my LAWFUL BLUE PLACARD IN PLAIN VIEW CITATIONS SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO BEGIN WITH.period.

AND; SAME UPON RECEIPT BY CITY CLERKS RESPECTED/RESPECTFULLY IMMEDIATELY MAILED OUT TO my person AT MY MAILING ADDRESS

"FORMAL/OFFICIAL RECOGNITION OF "THE" AMOUNT OWED BY my person being JUST

\$20.00 (X 2) AND HOW COMMON IS IT THAT THOSE ISSUING PARKING CITATIONS

- 1) DO SO TO LAWFULLY PARKED VEHICLES:
- 2) TUCSON CITY CLERKS REPEATEDLY FAIL TO RECOGNIZE TUCSON CITY LAWS AND FAIL TO MAIL OUT "PROPER/LAWFUL/ACCURATE" "INVOICES" AS WELL AS FROM my personal EXPERIENCES ALL TUCSON CITY EMPLOYEES INCLUDING "JUDGES" AND SENIOR ADMINISTRATION OFFICIALS EVEN/ESPECIALLY AFTER RECEIVING WRITTEN, et al, NOTICES of SAID MULTIPLE VIOLATIONS HAVE REPEATEDLY FAILED TO TAKE "THE" REQUIRED ETHICAL LAWFUL CORRECTIVE STEPS APPARENTLY, IN ALLOWING EVERY BENEFIT of "THE" (EVERY) DOUBT TO said Tucson City Employees/JUDGE(S); FOR PLAIN/SIMPLE/SOLE/MONETARY/REVENUE GAIN

with malice with impunity

and "THIS" IS MY plainly simply solely CALLING A SPADE; "A SPADE.period." of which I ABSOLUTELY should NOT NOT in ANY MANNER SHAPE OR FORM BE IN A(N)Y) POSITION TO BE required to do so.Amen!

I have learned long ago that the more education one has and/or the greater ones "title", the greater their ego and the greater same OVER ESTIMATES themselves AND same "DISCOUNTS" "UNDER ESTIMATES" "COMPLETELY DISREGARDS ANOTHER" AND ALL of THESE PREVIOUS COURT CASES ARE "THE" PREEMINENT EXAMPLE OF: "egregious/blatant/IGNORANT (IF same were acceptable "CONDUCT", by "these")/GROSS NEGLIGENCE", BY MULTIPLE JUDGES and/or THEIR SUBORDINATES/SENIOR ADMINISTRATORS/SUPPORT STAFF, et al; ALL behaving AS IF "THEY"THESE" ACTUALLY POSSESS "the" POWER and/or AUTHORITY and/or ARE IMMUNE TO and/or ARE ABOVE THE LAW(S) and/or "THE" LAWS (PLURAL) do NOT apply to "them" AND; "MY VERY PERSONAL FAVORITES":

- 1) "I WILL not EVER GET CAUGHT because NO ONE IS SMARTER THAN ME", and/or;
- 2) "NO ONE KNOWS WHAT I AM DOING", and/or;
- 3) "WHO CARES WHAT I AM DOING", and besides;
- 4) "MY BOSS DOES IT SO IT IS OK FOR ME TO":
- 5) "EVERYONE DOES IT SO IT IS OK FOR ME TO":
- 6) "IT IS OK because WE NEED (THE MONEY/REVENUE, et al), and;
- 7) "NO ONE EVER PAYS ATTENTION OR NOTICES ANYWAY";
- "ps" "IF we GET CAUGHT WE CAN:

"STALL"; "IGNORE "THEM"; "THREATEN THEM"; "(attempt TO) INTIMIDATE THEM"; "LIE UNTIL WE ARE BLUE IN THE FACE AS EVERYONE WILL BELIEVE us".period.

There was a time when asking to speak with ones Supervisor "resolved' things on the spot. NOW, the Supervisors (JUDGES) are as "complicit"; "culpable"; "GUILTY"; as EVERYONE else/before them

Before I suffered the severe injuries leading to my permanent disability, Post Concussion Syndrome (symptoms)

NO ONE EVER EVEN considered LET ALONE ACTUALLY attempted ANY of "these".

I could have a detailed and articulate conversation IN REAL TIME AND

DEFEND my person RE: ALL/ANY MATTERS with "THE" MOST AWESOME offense,
as"the" truth is ALWAYS "the" SOLE BASIS FOR MY ANY ARGUMENT.period.

ALL of "these" KNEW OF MY SIGNIFICANT HEALTH ISSUES, SPECIFICALLY,
MY DAILY SEVERE/EXTREME/ACUTE HEADACHES AND ALL of "these" HAVE TAKEN AS
"GROSS ADVANTAGE of "THIS" AS IF: THEIR being honest human beings AND "THEIR" behaving as honest human beings was NOT acceptable NOR necessary NOR required because after all:
"what is he going to do (to us)" "what can he do (to us)";
PLUS I COULD AFFORD AN ATTORNEY WHEN I WERE WORKING.
I always provide everyone I AM dealing with hard evidence to support "my position"
"I then always allow everyone I AM dealing with "the" REASONABLE opportunity for (self) correction".

"I AM writing to this Commission on Judicial Conduct

as a direct result of several instances where I have been MORE THAN (reasonably) WRONGED by those whom ARE PAID to ABSOLUTELY per THEIR VOLUNTARY OATH(S) SUPPORT/ADVANCE

"fair and equal justice for all".

And (in) "THEIR" "KNOWINGLY" INDIVIDUAL / COLLECTIVE FAILURES to do so (in my NON-attorney opinion) have COMMITTED VOLUMINOUS EGREGIOUS OFFENSES)

I believe once you have obtained a copy of the two (2) Parking Court Case Files, that you will be able to recognize and to confirm for your own purposes that the MULTIPLE ACTS RE: BOTH SAID PARKING CITATIONS SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN ISSUED AND EVEN ONCE BOTH WERE ISSUED IN VIOLATION(S) of LAW(S)

I SHOULD HAVE PROMPTLY RECEIVE NOTIFICATION VIA USPS THAT I OWED:

\$20.00 USD X TWO (2) = \$40.00 USD for/RE: BOTH parking citations. period.

"the truth, the whole truth

n

Street, # 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007